

The Technical Specification for the Security Content Automation Protocol (SCAP): SCAP Version 1.1 (DRAFT)

Recommendations of the National Institute of Standards and Technology

Stephen Quinn
David Waltermire
Christopher Johnson
Karen Scarfone
John Banghart

NIST Special Publication 800-126 Revision 1 (DRAFT) The Technical Specification for the Security Content Automation Protocol (SCAP): SCAP Version 1.1 (Draft)

Recommendations of the National Institute of Standards and Technology

Stephen Quinn
David Waltermire
Christopher Johnson
Karen Scarfone
John Banghart

COMPUTER SECURITY

Computer Security Division Information Technology Laboratory National Institute of Standards and Technology Gaithersburg, MD 20899-8930

December 2009



U.S. Department of Commerce

Gary Locke, Secretary

National Institute of Standards and Technology

Patrick D. Gallagher, Director

Reports on Computer Systems Technology

The Information Technology Laboratory (ITL) at the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) promotes the U.S. economy and public welfare by providing technical leadership for the nation's measurement and standards infrastructure. ITL develops tests, test methods, reference data, proof of concept implementations, and technical analysis to advance the development and productive use of information technology. ITL's responsibilities include the development of technical, physical, administrative, and management standards and guidelines for the cost-effective security and privacy of sensitive unclassified information in Federal computer systems. This Special Publication 800-series reports on ITL's research, guidance, and outreach efforts in computer security and its collaborative activities with industry, government, and academic organizations.

National Institute of Standards and Technology Special Publication 800-126 Revision 1 (Draft) Natl. Inst. Stand. Technol. Spec. Publ. 800-126 Rev. 1, 71 pages (Dec. 2009)

Certain commercial entities, equipment, or materials may be identified in this document in order to describe an experimental procedure or concept adequately. Such identification is not intended to imply recommendation or endorsement by the National Institute of Standards and Technology, nor is it intended to imply that the entities, materials, or equipment are necessarily the best available for the purpose.

Acknowledgments

The authors, Stephen Quinn, David Waltermire, Christopher Johnson, Karen Scarfone, and John Banghart of the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), wish to thank their colleagues who reviewed drafts of this document and contributed to its technical content. The authors would like to acknowledge Paul Bartock of the National Security Agency (NSA); Matt Barrett, Matt Kerr, David Niemoller, Shane Shaffer, and Greg Witte of G2; Andy Bove of SecureAcuity; Jim Ronayne of Cobham; Paul Cichonski, Angela Orebaugh, and Victoria Thompson of Booz Allen Hamilton; and Jon Baker, Drew Buttner, and Maria Casipe of the MITRE Corporation for their keen and insightful assistance throughout the development of the document.

Trademark Information

OVAL and CVE are registered trademarks, and CCE, CPE, and OCIL are trademarks, of The MITRE Corporation.

XCCDF and SCAP are trademarks of the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST).

Windows XP, Windows Vista, and Windows Server 2003 are registered trademarks of Microsoft Corporation

All other registered trademarks or trademarks belong to their respective organizations.

Table of Contents

| Exe | cutive | Summary | ES-1 |
|-----|--|---|---|
| 1. | Intro | duction | 1-1 |
| | 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5 | Authority Purpose and Scope Audience Document Structure Document Conventions | 1-1 1-1 1-2 |
| 2. | Over | view of SCAP 1.1 | 2-1 |
| 3. | Basi | cs of SCAP Components | 3-1 |
| | 3.1 | Languages 3.1.1 Extensible Configuration Checklist Description Format (XCCDF) 1.1.4 3.1.2 Open Vulnerability and Assessment Language (OVAL) 5.6 3.1.3 Open Checklist Interactive Language (OCIL) 2.0 Enumerations 3.2.1 Common Platform Enumeration (CPE) 2.2 3.2.2 Common Configuration Enumeration (CCE) 5 3.2.3 Common Vulnerabilities and Exposures (CVE) | 3-1 3-3 3-5 3-6 3-7 |
| | 3.3 | 3.2.3 Common Vulnerabilities and Exposures (CVE) | |
| 4. | SCA | P General Requirements and Conventions | 4-1 |
| | 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5 4.6 4.7 4.8 | Support for Legacy SCAP Versions XCCDF Conventions and Requirements 4.2.1 Metadata Elements 4.2.2 Use of CPE Names 4.2.3 The <xccdf:benchmark> Element 4.2.4 The <xccdf:profile> Element 4.2.5 The <xccdf:rule> Element 4.2.6 Allowed Check System Usage 4.2.7 XCCDF Test Results OVAL Conventions and Requirements 4.3.1 Supported Previous Versions of OVAL (5.3, 5.4, and 5.5) 4.3.2 Support for Deprecated Constructs in OVAL 4.3.3 OVAL Schema Specification 4.3.4 OVAL Results OCIL Conventions CPE Conventions CPE Conventions CVE Conventions CVE Conventions CVSS Conventions</xccdf:rule></xccdf:profile></xccdf:benchmark> | 4-1 4-2 4-3 4-3 4-5 4-10 4-13 4-13 4-14 4-15 4-15 |
| 5. | SCA | P Use Case Requirements | 5-1 |
| | 5.1 5.2 5.3 | SCAP Data Streams SCAP Configuration Verification SCAP Vulnerability Assessment Using XCCDF and OVAL 5.3.1 SCAP Vulnerability Assessment Using Standalone OVAL | 5-1 5-3 5-3 |

| | 5.3.3 OVAL Definitions and Vulnerability Assessment | 5-4 |
|------------|--|------|
| 5.4 | Patch Validation | |
| | 5.4.1 Using OVAL Definitions for Patch Validation | |
| 5.5 | 5.4.2 Referencing an OVAL Patch Data StreamSCAP Inventory Collection | |
| | , | |
| | List of Appendices | |
| Appendix | A— Acronyms and Abbreviations | A-1 |
| Appendix | B— References and other Resources | B-1 |
| Appendix | C— SCAP Extensions to the XCCDF Specification | C-1 |
| C.1 | Rule and Group Selection | C-1 |
| C.2 | Selection by Association | |
| Appendix | D— SCAP Compliance Verification Data Stream Example | D-1 |
| D.1 | XCCDF Benchmark | |
| D.2 D.3 | OVAL ComplianceOVAL Patch | |
| D.3 D.4 | | |
| | CPE Dictionary | D-20 |
| D.6 | CPE Inventory | D-21 |
| | List of Tables | |
| Table 1-1 | . Conventional XML Mappings | 1-3 |
| | XCCDF Rule Sample Data | |
| | XCCDF Value Statement Sample Data | |
| | OVAL Definition Sample Data | |
| | OCIL Questionnaire Sample Data | |
| | Use of Dublin Core Terms in XCCDF Metadata | |
| Table 4-2 | XCCDF-OVAL Data Export Matching Constraints | 4-8 |
| Table 4-3 | Deriving XCCDF Rule Results from OVAL Definition Results | 4-8 |
| Table 4-4 | XCCDF-OCIL Data Export Matching Constraints | 4-10 |
| Table 4-5 | Deriving XCCDF Rule Results from OCIL Questionnaire Results | 4-10 |
| Table 5-1 | SCAP Configuration Verification Data Sources | 5-2 |
| Table 5-2 | SCAP Vulnerability Assessment Data Sources | 5-3 |
| Table 5-3 | SCAP Inventory Collection | 5-6 |
| | | |
| | List of Figures | |
| Figure 3-1 | Example CCE Entry | 3-7 |

The Technical Specification for the Security Content Automation Protocol (SCAP): SCAP Version 1.1 (DRAFT)

| Figure D-1. example-winxp-xccdf.xml | D-1 |
|--|------|
| Figure D-2. example-winxp-oval.xml | D-5 |
| Figure D-3. example-winxp-patches.xml | D-11 |
| Figure D-4. example-winxp-ocilxml | D-19 |
| Figure D-5. example-winxp-cpe-dictionary.xml | D-20 |
| Figure D-6. example-winxp-cpe-oval.xml | D-21 |

Executive Summary

The Security Content Automation Protocol (SCAP) is a suite of specifications that standardize the format and nomenclature by which security software products communicate software flaw and security configuration information. SCAP is a multi-purpose protocol that supports automated vulnerability and patch checking, technical control compliance activities, and security measurement. Goals for the development of SCAP include standardizing system security management, promoting interoperability of security products, and fostering the use of standard expressions of security content.

This document defines the technical composition of SCAP Version 1.1 as comprised of seven specifications—eXtensible Configuration Checklist Description Format (XCCDF), Open Vulnerability and Assessment Language (OVAL®), Open Checklist Interactive Language (OCIL), Common Platform Enumeration (CPETM), Common Configuration Enumeration (CCETM), Common Vulnerabilities and Exposures (CVE®), and Common Vulnerability Scoring System (CVSS)—and their interrelationships. These specifications are grouped into the following three categories:

- Languages. The SCAP languages provide standard vocabularies and conventions for expressing security policy, technical check mechanisms, and assessment results.
- Enumerations. Each SCAP enumeration defines a standard nomenclature (naming format) and an official dictionary or list of items expressed using that nomenclature. For example, CVE provides a dictionary of publicly known information security vulnerabilities and exposures.¹
- Vulnerability measurement and scoring systems. In SCAP, this refers to evaluating specific characteristics of a vulnerability and, based on those characteristics, generating a score that reflects the vulnerability's severity.

SCAP utilizes software flaw and security configuration standard reference data, also known as *SCAP content*. This reference data is provided by the National Vulnerability Database (NVD),² which is managed by NIST and sponsored by the Department of Homeland Security (DHS).

This publication defines SCAP Version 1.1 in terms of both its component specifications and the requirements for SCAP content, and also describes the details of how the elements of SCAP interoperate. The technical specification describes the requirements and conventions that are to be employed to ensure the consistent and accurate exchange of SCAP content and the ability to reliably use the content with SCAP validated tools.

The U.S. Federal Government, in cooperation with academia and private industry, is adopting SCAP and encourages its use in support of security automation activities and initiatives.³ SCAP is achieving widespread adoption by major software and hardware manufacturers and has become a significant component of large information security management and governance programs. The protocol is expected to evolve and expand in support of the growing needs to define and measure effective security controls, assess and monitor ongoing aspects of that information security, and successfully manage systems in accordance with risk management frameworks such as NIST Special Publication 800-53⁴, Department of Defense (DoD) Instruction 8500.2, and the Payment Card Industry (PCI) framework.

http://cve.mitre.org/

The National Vulnerability Database can be found at http://nvd.nist.gov/.

Refer to http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/memoranda/fy2008/m08-22.pdf.

The Risk Management Framework is described in Section 3.0 of NIST Special Publication 800-53, available at http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/800-53-Rev3/sp800-53-rev3-final-errata.pdf.

By detailing the specific and appropriate usage of the SCAP 1.1 components and their interoperability, NIST encourages the creation of reliable and pervasive SCAP content and the development of a wide array of tools that leverage SCAP capabilities. The use cases described in this document do not represent an exhaustive list of all possible applications of SCAP.

Organizations that use SCAP 1.1 or develop SCAP 1.1-based content or tools should implement the following recommendations:

Follow the requirements listed in this document and in the associated component specifications.

Organizations should ensure that their use of SCAP 1.1 is compliant with the requirements detailed in each component specification and the information presented in this document. If requirements are in conflict between component specifications, this document will provide clarification. If a component specification is in conflict with this document, the requirements in this document take precedence.

When creating SCAP content, adhere to the conventions specified in this document.

Security products and checklist authors assemble content from SCAP data repositories to create viable SCAP-expressed security guidance. A security configuration checklist that documents desired security configuration settings, installed patches, and other system security elements using SCAP in a standardized format is known as an SCAP-expressed checklist. Such a checklist would use XCCDF to describe the checklist, CCE to identify security configuration settings to be addressed or assessed, and CPE to identify platforms for which the checklist is valid. The use of CCE and CPE entries within XCCDF checklists is an example of an SCAP convention—a requirement for valid SCAP usage. These conventions are considered part of the definition of SCAP 1.1. Organizations producing SCAP content should adhere to these conventions to ensure the highest degree of interoperability.

1. Introduction

1.1 Authority

The National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) developed this document in furtherance of its statutory responsibilities under the Federal Information Security Management Act (FISMA) of 2002, Public Law 107-347.

NIST is responsible for developing standards and guidelines, including minimum requirements, for providing adequate information security for all agency operations and assets; but such standards and guidelines shall not apply to national security systems. This guideline is consistent with the requirements of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-130, Section 8b(3), "Securing Agency Information Systems," as analyzed in A-130, Appendix IV: Analysis of Key Sections. Supplemental information is provided in A-130, Appendix III.

This guideline has been prepared for use by Federal agencies. It may be used by nongovernmental organizations on a voluntary basis and is not subject to copyright, though attribution is desired.

Nothing in this document should be taken to contradict standards and guidelines made mandatory and binding on Federal agencies by the Secretary of Commerce under statutory authority, nor should these guidelines be interpreted as altering or superseding the existing authorities of the Secretary of Commerce, Director of the OMB, or any other Federal official.

1.2 Purpose and Scope

This document provides the definitive technical specification for Version 1.1 of the Security Content Automation Protocol (SCAP). SCAP (pronounced S-CAP) consists of a suite of specifications for standardizing the format and nomenclature by which security software communicates information about software flaws and security configurations. This document describes the basics of the SCAP component specifications and their interrelationships, the characteristics of SCAP content, as well as SCAP requirements not defined in the individual component specifications.

The scope of this document is limited to SCAP Version 1.1. Other versions of SCAP and the component specifications, including emerging specifications and future versions of SCAP, are not addressed here. Future versions of SCAP will be defined in distinct revisions of this document, each clearly labeled with a document revision number and the appropriate SCAP version number.

1.3 Audience

This document is intended for three primary audiences:

- Content authors and editors seeking guidance to ensure that the SCAP content they produce operates correctly, consistently, and reliably in SCAP tools.
- Software developers and system integrators seeking to create, use, or exchange SCAP content in their products or service offerings.
- Content and/or tool developers preparing for SCAP validation at an accredited independent testing laboratory.

1.4 Document Structure

The remainder of this document is organized into the following four major sections:

- Section 2 defines SCAP 1.1 and explains the purpose of SCAP.
- Section 3 presents basic information on the specifications comprising SCAP 1.1.
- Section 4 defines conventions and requirements for using SCAP to achieve interoperability of content and tools.
- Section 5 presents use cases that demonstrate effective and compliant implementations of SCAP.

The document also contains appendices with supporting material:

- Appendix A contains an acronym and abbreviation list.
- Appendix B lists references and other resources related to SCAP 1.1 and its component specifications.
- Appendix C documents several SCAP extensions to the XCCDF component.
- Appendix D provides an example of an SCAP data stream.

1.5 Document Conventions

Some of the requirements and conventions used in this document reference XML content. These references come in two forms, inline and indented. An example of an inline reference is

"A <cpe_dict:cpe-item> may contain <cpe_dict:check> elements that reference OVAL Definitions".

In this example the notation <code><cpe_dict:cpe-item></code> can be replaced by the more verbose equivalent "the XML element whose qualified name is <code>cpe_dict:cpe-item</code>". An even more verbose equivalent is "the XML element in the namespace 'http://cpe.mitre.org/dictionary/2.0' whose local name is <code>cpe-item</code>".

An example of an indented reference is:

"References to OVAL Definitions are expressed using the following format:

```
<cpe_dict:check system=
"http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5"
href="Oval URL">[Oval inventory definition id]/cpe dict:check>".
```

The general convention used when describing XML attributes within this document is to reference the attribute as well as its associated element including the namespace alias, employing the general form: "@attributeName for the cprefix:localName>".

Indented references are intended to represent the form of actual XML content. Indented references represent literal content by the use of a fixed-length font, and parametric (freely replaceable) content by the use of an *italic font*. Square brackets '[]' are used to designate optional content. Thus "[Oval inventory definition id]" designates optional parametric content.

Both inline and indented forms use qualified names to refer to specific XML elements. A qualified name associates a named element with a namespace. The namespace identifies the specific XML schema that defines (and consequently may be used to validate) the syntax of the element instance. A qualified name declares this schema to element association using the format 'prefix:element-name'. The association of prefix to namespace is defined in the metadata of an XML document and generally will vary from document to document. In this specification, the conventional mappings listed in Table 1-1 are used.

Table 1-1. Conventional XML Mappings

| Prefix | Namespace URI | Schema |
|----------|--|--|
| cpe_dict | http://cpe.mitre.org/dictionary/2.0 | CPE Dictionaries |
| сре | http://cpe.mitre.org/language/2.0 | Embedded CPE references |
| nvd | http://scap.nist.gov/schema/feed/vulnerability/2.0 | Base schema for NVD data feeds |
| cve | http://scap.nist.gov/schema/vulnerability/0.4 | NVD/CVE data feed elements and attributes |
| cvss | http://scap.nist.gov/schema/cvss-v2/0.2 | NVD/CVSS data feed elements and attributes |
| dc | http://purl.org/dc/elements/1.1/ | Simple Dublin Core elements |
| xccdf | http://checklists.nist.gov/xccdf/1.1 | XCCDF policy documents |
| xml | http://www.w3.org/XML/1998/namespace | Common XML attributes |
| inter | http://www.mitre.org/ocil/2 | OCIL elements and attributes |
| oval | http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-common-5 | Common OVAL elements and attributes |
| oval-def | http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5 | OVAL Definitions |
| xxxx-def | http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions- 5#xxxx | OVAL elements and attributes specific to an OS, Hardware, or Application type xxxx ⁵ |
| oval-res | http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-results-5 | OVAL results |
| oval-sc | http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-system- characteristics-5 | OVAL system characteristics |
| xxxx-sc | http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-system-characteristics-5#xxxx | OVAL system characteristic elements and attributes specific to an OS, Hardware, or Application type xxxx |
| oval-var | http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-variables-5 | The elements, types, and attributes that compose the core schema for encoding OVAL Variables. This schema is provided to give structure to any external variables and their values that an OVAL Definition is expecting. |
| sch | http://purl.oclc.org/dsdl/schematron | Schematron validation scripts |
| ds | http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig# | Interoperable XML digital signatures |

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in Request for Comment (RFC) 2119.⁶

The types supported by OVAL 5.3 include the AIX, CATOS, ESX, FREE BSD, HP-UX, IOS, LINUX, PIXOS, SOLARIS, UNIX, WINDOWS, INDEPENDENT (common) operating systems, and APACHE application.

⁶ RFC 2119, "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", is available at http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt.

2. Overview of SCAP 1.1

NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-117, *Guide to Adopting and Using the Security Content Automation Protocol*, defines SCAP as being comprised of two major elements. [BAR09] First, SCAP is a protocol—a suite of six specifications that standardize the format and nomenclature by which security software communicates information about publicly known software flaws and security configurations annotated with common identifiers and embedded in XML. Second, SCAP also utilizes software flaw and security configuration standard reference data, also known as *SCAP content*. This reference data is provided by the National Vulnerability Database (NVD), which is managed by NIST and sponsored by the Department of Homeland Security (DHS). SCAP can be used for several purposes, including automating vulnerability checking, technical control compliance activities, and security measurement. The U.S. Federal Government, in cooperation with academia and private industry, is adopting SCAP and is encouraging widespread support of it.

This document defines Version 1.1 of SCAP in terms of both its component specifications and the requirements for SCAP content. As stated in the Executive Summary, organizations that use SCAP 1.1 should ensure that their use of it is compliant with the requirements detailed in each component specification and the information presented in this document. If requirements are in conflict between component specifications, this document will provide clarification. If a component specification is in conflict with this document, the requirements in this document SHALL take precedence.

SCAP 1.1 uses the following specifications:

- Extensible Configuration Checklist Description Format (XCCDF) 1.1.4, a language for authoring security checklists/benchmarks and for reporting results of checklist evaluation [QUI08]
- Open Vulnerability and Assessment Language (OVAL) 5.6, a language for representing system configuration information, assessing machine state, and reporting assessment results
- Open Checklist Interactive Language (OCIL) 2.0, a language for representing security checks that requires human feedback
- Common Platform Enumeration (CPE) 2.2, a nomenclature and dictionary of hardware, operating systems, and applications [BUT09]
- Common Configuration Enumeration (CCE) 5, a nomenclature and dictionary of security software configurations
- Common Vulnerabilities and Exposures (CVE), a nomenclature and dictionary of security-related software flaws⁹
- Common Vulnerability Scoring System (CVSS) 2.0, an open specification for measuring the relative severity of software flaw vulnerabilities [MEL07].

Section 3 presents detailed information on each of these specifications and provides examples of how these components are used in context.

Security products and checklist authors assemble content from SCAP data repositories to create viable SCAP-expressed security guidance. As stated in the Executive Summary, a security configuration

NIST SP 800-117 is available at http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/PubsSPs.html.

^{8 &}lt;u>http://nvd.nist.gov/</u>

⁹ CVE does not have a version number.

checklist that documents desired security configuration settings, installed patches, and other system security elements using SCAP in a standardized format is known as an SCAP-expressed checklist. Such a checklist would use XCCDF to describe the checklist, CCE to identify security configuration settings to be addressed or assessed, and CPE to identify platforms for which the checklist is valid. The use of CCE and CPE entries within XCCDF checklists is an example of an SCAP convention—a requirement for valid SCAP usage. These conventions are considered part of the definition of SCAP 1.1 and are described in Sections 3, 4, and 5 of this document. Organizations producing SCAP content should adhere to these conventions to ensure the highest degree of interoperability.

SCAP revisions are managed through a coordinated process defined within the SCAP Release Cycle. ¹⁰ The release cycle workflow manages changes related to SCAP specifications and validation processes including the addition of new specifications or updates to existing specifications. This process encourages community involvement, promotes transparency and awareness regarding proposed changes, and affords ample lead-time to prepare for pending changes.

SCAP Release Cycle, http://scap.nist.gov/timeline.html

3. Basics of SCAP Components

SCAP 1.1 is comprised of the seven specifications referenced in Section 2: XCCDF, OVAL, OCIL, CPE, CCE, CVE, and CVSS. These specifications are grouped into the following three categories:

- 1. **Languages.** SCAP languages provide a standardized means for identifying what is to be evaluated and for expressing how to check system state.
- 2. **Enumerations.** SCAP enumerations provide a standardized nomenclature (naming format) and an associated dictionary of items expressed using that nomenclature. For example, CVE provides a dictionary of publicly known information security vulnerabilities and exposures.¹¹
- 3. **Vulnerability measurement and scoring systems.** SCAP vulnerability measurement and scoring systems provide the ability within SCAP to measure and evaluate specific vulnerability characteristics to derive a vulnerability severity score.

This section provides an introduction to the SCAP component specifications in each of these categories.

3.1 Languages

This section describes the three language specifications in SCAP 1.1: XCCDF 1.1.4, OVAL 5.6, and OCIL 2.0. The following sections describe the purpose and primary logical concepts for each specification and provide examples. Tools may be required to process previous revisions of these specifications. See Section 4 for additional details on the specifications (Section 4.2 for XCCDF, Section 4.3 for OVAL, and Section 4.4 for OCIL).

3.1.1 Extensible Configuration Checklist Description Format (XCCDF) 1.1.4

XCCDF 1.1.4 is a specification language for expressing security configuration checklists, vulnerability alerts, and other related documents. The specification is designed to support information interchange, document generation, organizational and situational tailoring, automated compliance testing, and compliance scoring. An XCCDF document represents a structured collection of system assessment rules for some set of target systems. The specification also defines a data model and format for storing results of assessing an XCCDF benchmark. The intent of XCCDF is to provide a uniform means of expressing security checklists and the results of checklist evaluation.

An XCCDF document is composed of one or more XCCDF rules. An XCCDF rule is a high-level definition of a technical check on a system. A rule does not directly specify how a check should be performed, but instead points to other XML documents (such as OVAL Definition files) that contain the actual instructions for performing the check. Table 3-1 shows sample values from an XCCDF rule. This particular rule is for ensuring that the minimum password length is set to at least eight characters. The System Check section of the rule specifies the OVAL Definition example presented in Table 3-3.

_

¹¹ http://cve.mitre.org/

Table 3-1. XCCDF Rule Sample Data

| Rule Field | Explanation | Sample Data |
|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| Rule ID | The identifier for this rule | MinimumPasswordLength-8 |
| Title | The title for the rule | Minimum Password Length = 8 |
| Description | The description of the rule | This setting specifies the minimum length of a password in characters. The rationale behind this setting is that longer passwords are more difficult to guess and crack than shorter passwords. The downside is that longer passwords are often more difficult for users to remember. |
| References | References to checklists and other documents that contain requirements to which this rule maps—in this case, the IA-5 (Authenticator Management) control from NIST SP 800-53 | IA-5 (http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpub s/800-53-Rev3/sp800-53-rev3-final- errata.pdf) |
| Requires | The id of another Group or Rule in the Benchmark that should be selected for this Rule to be applied and scored properly. In this case, the IA-5 group | IA-5 |
| System | The XML check system to use during rule evaluation (usually the OVAL Language) | http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval- definitions-5 |
| OVAL Definition File Reference | Name of the OVAL Definition file | WindowsXP-SP800-68.xml |
| OVAL Definition ID | The identifier of the OVAL Definition to be used | oval:gov.nist.1:def:20 |

The number of rules appearing in a typical XCCDF document will vary depending upon the intended use case. The rules appearing in an XCCDF document may also be organized into multiple *XCCDF profiles* that specify collections of rules to be evaluated on particular types of systems. Profiles can be used to express multiple policies within a single benchmark document; allowing the benchmark author to publish technical security control settings tailored to the type of system or the environment in which the system is deployed. By creating a policy that corresponds to a particular set of requirements, such as those of the FISMA, the Defense Information Systems Agency's (DISA) Security Technical Implementation Guides (STIG), or the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), the policy can be used to map those high-level requirements to the corresponding OVAL Definitions.

An XCCDF document can be further organized into one or more *XCCDF groups*. A group can contain one or more related rules or groups. Groups allow multiple rules to be enabled or disabled collectively instead of individually.

Another option involving XCCDF rules is to have user-definable values for certain rules, known as *XCCDF values*. Table 3-2 shows sample data from an XCCDF value statement. This particular value statement defines the duration of the account lockout (in minutes) that occurs after consecutive failed login attempts have exceeded a specific threshold. In this case, the value has been set to 15 minutes and the operator field specifies that the system setting for lockout duration is greater than or equal to this value. A checklist user may choose to alter or override this value in the profile(s) that reference this value to account for specific organizational policies.

Table 3-2, XCCDF Value Statement Sample Data

| Rule Field | Explanation | Sample Data |
|-------------|---|--|
| Value ID | The identifier for this value | AccountLockoutDurationTime |
| Туре | The type of the value (e.g., string, number) | Number |
| Operator | The comparison operator (in this case, the system's value for account lockout duration time must be greater than or equal to the specified value) | greater than or equal |
| Title | The title for the value | Account Lockout Duration Time |
| Description | The description of the value | This value specifies how long the user account should be locked out. This is often set to a low but substantial value (e.g., 15 minutes. |
| Question | Explanatory text that can be presented to the user when is customizing the checklist | Account lockout duration time (in minutes) |
| Value | The value assigned to the AccountLockoutDurationTime value | 15 |
| Default | A suggested default value number for checklist users' reference; not actually used when performing checks or applying configuration settings | 15 |

3.1.2 Open Vulnerability and Assessment Language (OVAL) 5.6

OVAL is used to express standardized, machine-readable rules that can be used to assess the state of a system. Under SCAP, OVAL is commonly used to determine the presence of vulnerabilities and insecure configurations. A set of instructions used to check for a security problem, such as an incorrect minimum password length setting, is known as an *OVAL Definition*. A file containing one or more OVAL Definitions (often hundreds or even thousands) is known as an *OVAL Definition file*.

There are four classes of OVAL Definitions used in SCAP:¹²

- Vulnerability definitions, which define "the conditions that must exist on a computer for a specific vulnerability to be present"
- Patch definitions, which define "the conditions on a computer that determine whether a particular patch is appropriate for a system"
- Inventory definitions, which define "the conditions on a computer that determine whether a specific piece of software is installed on the system"
- Compliance definitions, which define "the conditions on a computer that determine compliance with a specific policy or configuration statement".

Table 3-3 shows sample values that have been extracted from an actual OVAL compliance definition. Explanations of each value have also been provided. The definition ID, version, and class are standard fields that are part of every OVAL Definition. The exact types of information contained in the metadata

These definitions are taken from the OVAL Web site's "Structure of the Language" page, located at http://oval.mitre.org/language/about/structure.html.

vary among definitions, but at a high level they explain the intent of the definition. The criteria provide the technical details of how the system will be checked for the items of interest, such as the presence of a vulnerability or the value of a configuration setting. Each OVAL Definition has a single top-level criterion that can contain one or more sub-criteria. The operator associated with each criterion specifies how the results produced by the sub-criteria are combined (e.g., AND, OR). ¹³

The example in Table 3-3 has two criteria. One of the criteria is an *OVAL Test*, which is a specific system check—in this case, that the system is configured to require a minimum password length of at least eight characters. The other criterion is actually another definition—in this case, an inventory definition that confirms that the target system is running Windows XP SP2 on a 32-bit architecture.

Table 3-3. OVAL Definition Sample Data

| Definition Field | Explanation | Sample Data |
|-------------------------|---|--|
| ID | Identifier for this definition; must be globally unique | oval:gov.nist.1:def:20 |
| Version | Version of the definition | 1 |
| Class | Defines the type of definition (e.g., compliance, inventory, patch, vulnerability) | Compliance |
| Metadata | | |
| Title | Short description for the definition | Minimum Password Length of 8 Characters |
| Affected product | The operating system or application version(s) to which this definition is applicable | Microsoft Windows XP, SP2, 32 bit |
| References | References to checklists and other documents that contain requirements to which this definition maps | NIST SP800-68 Appendix A, 1.4b, http://csrc.nist.gov/itsec/download_WinXP.html DISA FSO Checklist, 5.4.1.3 DISA VMS 6XID V0001106 DISA PDI ID 1740 |
| Description | Description for the definition | The minimum allowable password length is 8 characters |
| Criteria | | |
| Definition reference | The identifier of another OVAL Definition, OVAL Definition references another OVAL Definition (extended definition) | oval:gov.nist.1:def:9 |
| Definition comment | A brief explanation of what the definition addresses; in this case, it is used to determine if the target system is running Windows XP SP2 on a 32-bit architecture | Precondition 9: Windows family, Windows XP, SP2, 32 bit |
| Test reference | An identifier for an OVAL Test that is run when evaluating the OVAL Definition. | oval:gov.nist.1:tst:16 |
| Test comment | A brief explanation of what the test addresses; in this case, it is used to determine if the target system requires a minimum password length of 8 characters | Minimum password length is 8 characters |

In the context of this SP800-126 publication, the words 'criterion' and 'criteria' are used properly; however, the reader should note that the actual OVAL element names are expressed using 'criteria' where this document expresses the term 'criterion' and 'criterion' where this document expresses the term 'criteria'.

As the example in Table 3-3 shows, definitions often reference one or more tests. The instructions that comprise each test are also included in the OVAL Definition file. A test does not directly contain the technical details of checking the system but instead references other OVAL constructs. Typically, a test references an *OVAL Object*, which is a logical construct for a portion of the target system (e.g., password policy, file, Windows registry key), and an *OVAL State*, which is a particular check of the specified OVAL Object (e.g., verifying that the password policy requires a minimum password length of at least eight characters, verifying the existence of a file). An OVAL State can also reference one or more OVAL Variables, which are user-definable values (e.g., minimum password length value of eight). This modular approach introduces additional complexity but fosters reuse and allows OVAL Definitions to be used without requiring the details of test construction to be exposed. Individuals seeking detailed information can refer to the OVAL Definition file for the Definition, Test, Object, and State ID numbers, and instructions associated with each entity. More technical details on OVAL Definition files, including examples of the XML code for OVAL Definitions, are presented in Section 4. An OVAL Definition tutorial is also available from the OVAL Web site at http://oval.mitre.org/language/about/definition.html.

3.1.3 Open Checklist Interactive Language (OCIL) 2.0

OCIL is a framework for expressing security checks that cannot be evaluated without some human interaction or feedback. It is used to determine the state of a system by presenting one or more questionnaires to its intended users. The language includes constructs for questions, instructions for guiding users towards an answer, responses to questions, artifacts, and evaluation results.

An OCIL document may contain one or more questionnaires. A questionnaire represents a single, discrete check similar to an OVAL Definition. It contains references to test actions (or other questionnaires) that describe a sequence of questions that must be posed to users. Based on user responses to questions, the result of a test action is computed. Test action results are aggregated with a logical operator, AND/OR, producing the questionnaire's result.

Four types of questions are supported in OCIL: Boolean, choice, numeric, and string. Each question type is designed to handle a particular data expected to be a valid answer. A question may also be associated with a set of instructions that provides a step-by-step procedure on how a user might answer the question. When a user responds to a question, the user may be required to provide an artifact (e.g., file, text) to support the answer.

Variables may also be used to set values for choices in choice questions, specify pattern matches for string questions, or set numerical range matches for numerical questions.

Table 3-4 is an example of an OCIL questionnaire that checks whether IPv6 Protocol 41 has been blocked. A unique identifier is required for each questionnaire within the document. Metadata fields are also provided to describe the questionnaire, references, and processing instructions.

Table 3-4. OCIL Questionnaire Sample Data

| Questionnaire Field | Explanation | Sample Data |
|---------------------|---|---|
| ID | Identifier for this questionnaire; must be unique within the OVAL Definition file | ocil:mitre.org:questionnaire:1 |
| Actions | A container for all test actions that | Test Action Reference: |
| | must be evaluated for the questionnaire | ocil:mitre.org:testaction:61 (describes a sequence of one or more questions) |
| Metadata | | |
| Title | Short heading or caption for the questionnaire | IPv6 Blocks Protocol 41 |
| Description | Descriptive text that describes the questionnaire in more detail | This questionnaire checks if IPv6 Protocol 41 is blocked |
| References | References to checklists and other documents that contain requirements to which this questionnaire maps | CCE-1795; CCE-2865-4 |
| Scope | Processing instruction that specifies whether evaluation should stop when a result can be computed or continue to ask the remaining questions | FULL (indicates that all questions must be answered before computing the questionnaire's result) |
| Child Only | Specifies whether the questionnaire should be treated as top-level or a child-level questionnaire | False (indicates that this is a top-level questionnaire) |
| Priority | Priority level of questionnaire relative to other questionnaires | HIGH (indicates that this questionnaire is of "high" importance relative to other questionnaires within the document) |

3.2 Enumerations

This section describes the three enumeration specifications in SCAP 1.1: CPE 2.2, CCE 5, and CVE. SCAP enumerations typically consist of an identifier, an associated description or definition, and a list of supporting references. For each specification, the section describes its purpose and provides examples of entries. The section also explains the interdependencies between these specifications and other SCAP component specifications.

3.2.1 Common Platform Enumeration (CPE) 2.2

CPE 2.2 is a standard naming convention for operating systems, hardware, and applications. The purpose of CPE is to provide consistent names that can be shared by multiple parties and solutions to refer to the same specific platform type.¹⁴

The MITRE Corporation maintains the CPE specification and NIST maintains the Official CPE Dictionary. More information on CPE is available at http://cpe.mitre.org/. The Official CPE Dictionary is available at http://nvd.nist.gov/cpe.cfm

The syntax of an individual CPE Name, as defined in Section 5 of the CPE 2.2 Specification, is as follows:

```
cpe:/{part}:{vendor}:{product}:{version}:{update}:{edition}:{language}
```

For example, "cpe:/o:redhat:enterprise_linux:2.1::es" refers to Red Hat Enterprise Server 2.1. The "o" indicates that this CPE describes an operating system. In this example, the edition field is blank, indicating that this CPE refers to all editions of Red Hat Enterprise Server 2.1.

CPE Names are used in conjunction with many of the SCAP specifications to provide an association to asset-related information. CPE is used by SCAP in the following ways:

- **XCCDF** In an XCCDF checklist, CPE Names can be used to identify the hardware or software platform to which an XCCDF object (e.g., benchmark, profile, group, rule) applies.
- CCE CPE Names can be associated with configuration vulnerabilities to identify platforms covered by CCE technical mechanisms.
- CVE CVEs are related to one or more product platforms expressed as CPEs. The mapping of CPEs to CVEs is performed by NVD analysts and is published in the NVD vulnerability data feed.

3.2.2 Common Configuration Enumeration (CCE) 5

The CCE 5 naming scheme is a dictionary of names for security configuration settings for deployed software. Each type of security-related configuration issue is assigned a unique identifier to facilitate fast and accurate correlation of configuration data across multiple information sources and tools. The MITRE Corporation publishes the CCE list.¹⁵

There are five attributes in a CCE entry: a unique identifier number, a description of the configuration issue, logical parameters of the CCE, the associated technical mechanisms related to the CCE, and references to additional sources of information. Figure 3-1 provides an example of these attributes for a CCE 5 entry for Windows XP.

Figure 3-1. Example CCE Entry

CCE ID: CCE-3108-8

Definition: The correct service permissions for the Telnet service should be

assigned.

Parameters: (1) set of accounts (2) list of permissions

Technical

Mechanisms: (1) set via Security Templates (2) defined by Group Policy

References: Listed at http://cce.mitre.org/lists/cce_list.html

References to CCEs are used by some of the other SCAP specifications to provide an association to particular security configuration settings. In an XCCDF checklist, CCEs can be used to specify which

_

See http://cce.mitre.org/ for additional information.

security configuration settings are of interest (i.e., which settings should be checked). Similarly, OVAL uses CCE entries for the same purpose.

3.2.3 Common Vulnerabilities and Exposures (CVE)

CVE is a dictionary of unique, common names for publicly known software flaws. ¹⁶ This common naming convention allows sharing of data within and among organizations and enables effective integration of services and tools. For example, a remediation tool may use CVE information from several scanning tools and monitoring sensors, enabling an integrated risk mitigation solution. CVE provides the following:

- A comprehensive list of publicly known software flaws
- A globally unique name to identify each vulnerability
- A basis for discussing priorities and risks of vulnerabilities
- A way for a user of disparate tools and services to integrate vulnerability information

A CVE vulnerability entry consists of a unique name (e.g., CVE-2000-0001), a short description (e.g., "RealMedia server allows remote attackers to cause a denial of service via a long ramgen request."), and references to public advisories on the vulnerability.

CVE is used in conjunction with other SCAP specifications to satisfy the following use cases:

- XCCDF. In an XCCDF checklist, CVEs are used to uniquely identify which software flaw vulnerabilities are of interest (i.e., flaws that are to be checked during the evaluation of the checklist).
- CVSS. CVSS scores are associated with CVE entries to uniformly express the fundamental characteristics of the software flaw and to provide a severity score based on these characteristics.
- **OVAL.** Including the specific CVE entry in the OVAL metadata enables a reviewer to accurately understand the basis for a given OVAL Definition such as a Vulnerability or Patch test.

Working with researchers, The MITRE Corporation assigns CVE IDs to publicly known vulnerabilities in commercial and open source software. ¹⁷

3.3 Common Vulnerability Scoring System (CVSS) 2.0

CVSS 2.0 provides a repeatable method for consistently evaluating and expressing the risk associated with a given software flaw (e.g., CVE). The use of this shared scoring model allows meaningful comparisons of vulnerability severity scores. CVSS provides three metric groups that can be used to derive a vulnerability score:

■ Base, which uses the intrinsic characteristics of the vulnerability to provide a generic score

■ Temporal, which captures external factors that may change over time (e.g., availability of exploit code). The base score is adjusted to render a temporal score that accounts for the temporal factors.

The CVE repository maintained by NIST contains all CVEs issued by The MITRE Corporation as well as supplemental data such as CVSS base scores, vendor statements, and Spanish language translations. NVD provides fine-grained searching and statistical analysis capabilities as well. CVEs and associated NIST-provided metadata can be viewed at http://nvd.nist.gov/nvd.cfm.

¹⁶ CVE issuance is managed by The MITRE Corporation and is sponsored by the DHS National Cyber Security Division (NCSD). General CVE information is available at http://cve.mitre.org/.

■ Environmental, which characterizes the severity of a vulnerability in the context of an organization's operating environment.

The purpose of performing CVSS scoring is to help organizations understand the relative importance of various vulnerabilities so that they can effectively assess, prioritize, and mitigate vulnerabilities. Because hundreds of vulnerabilities are publicly announced every week, it is important for organizations to have an easy way to identify those vulnerabilities that have the greatest operational impact. NVD analysts compute and publish CVSS base scores for all CVEs, but organizations are encouraged to further tailor these scores by employing the temporal and environmental metrics to more precisely measure the risk a vulnerability represents within their specific organization.

Complete examples of CVSS measures and scores are available in the official CVSS 2.0 specification [MEL07]. A brief example of base measures, extracted from [MEL07], is [AV:N/AC:L/Au:N/C:C/I:C/A:C], with a base score of 10.0. The bracketed notation for the base measures is known as a *vector*. The first half of the notation indicates that the Access Vector is Network, the Access Complexity is Low, and the Authentication requirement is None. The second half of the notation indicates that the potential impact to Confidentiality, Integrity, and Availability is Complete. The scoring scale is 0 to 10, with 10 being the most severe, so a score of 10.0 indicates the highest severity possible.

The CVSS Special Interest Group (CVSS-SIG) from the Forum of Incident Response and Security Teams (FIRST) developed CVSS 2.0. More information on CVSS can be found at http://www.first.org/cvss.

4. SCAP General Requirements and Conventions

As described in NIST SP 800-117, *Guide to Adopting and Using the Security Content Automation Protocol*, ¹⁸ the motivation for creating SCAP was to provide a standardized approach to maintaining the security of enterprise systems, enhance interoperability of security products, and enable consistent security assessments. The following conventions and requirements were established to help satisfy these goals by ensuring that validated tools and content interoperate as designed and provide the expected results.

4.1 Support for Legacy SCAP Versions

Tools supporting SCAP 1.1 SHALL process SCAP 1.0 content as described under SP 800-126 for SCAP $1.0.^{19}$

4.2 XCCDF Conventions and Requirements

An SCAP XCCDF document is a machine-readable XML document that defines the policies and test conditions to be evaluated or applied. Types of XCCDF documents include Definition documents that express policy statements and Result documents that contain both policy statements and actual test results.

An SCAP Benchmark document validates against the XCCDF schema (http://scap.nist.gov/specifications/xccdf/) and conforms to all relevant content requirements as outlined in the XCCDF Specification [QUI08].

The following general restrictions apply to SCAP XCCDF content:

- 1. The use of the @xml:base attribute SHALL NOT be allowed in SCAP XCCDF content. This attribute is not compatible with the SCAP data stream model.
- 2. In cases where localized text is used, US English SHALL be the default language. If a @lang attribute is omitted, the @xml:lang attribute of the nearest ancestor <xccdf:Benchmark>, <xccdf:Value>, <xccdf:Group>, <xccdf:Rule> or <xccdf:Profile> element should be consulted. If this value is omitted, then a value of @lang="en-US" SHALL be used by default.

4.2.1 Metadata Elements

XCCDF metadata provides descriptive information about the security benchmark. The metadata is used by SCAP tools to assist in the selection of the appropriate benchmark, ensure that the most recent or correct version of a benchmark is used, and to provide additional information about the benchmark.

The following requirements and conventions apply to the <xccdf:Benchmark>, <xccdf:Profile>, <xccdf:Value>, <xccdf:Group>, and <xccdf:Rule> elements:

1. One or more instances of the <xccdf:title> element SHALL be provided. Each instance MUST contain text values that indicate the purpose of the benchmark delimited by an OPTIONAL @xml:lang attribute. If more than one <xccdf:title> element is provided

NIST SP 800-117 is available at http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/drafts/800-117/draft-sp800-117.pdf.

NIST SP 800-126 is available at http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/PubsSPs.html.

then the language attribute SHALL be provided. An <xccdf:title> element SHALL be provided that represents an "en-US" title.

- 2. One or more instances of the <xccdf:description> element SHALL be provided. Each instance MUST contain text values that represent the purpose and intended audience of the benchmark delimited by an OPTIONAL language attribute. If more than one <xccdf:description> element is provided then the @xml:lang attribute SHALL be provided. An <xccdf:description> element SHALL be provided that represents an "en-US" description.
- 3. One or more instances of the <xccdf:reference> element MAY be included. These elements SHALL provide a cross reference to additional information, preferably including a URL, to obtain additional information regarding the benchmark.

All remaining OPTIONAL elements in the XCCDF schema MAY be included at the author's discretion unless otherwise noted in this document.

For an example, refer to the appendix section 1 lines 31-35.

4.2.2 Use of CPE Names

For all SCAP content, the applicability of XCCDF <xccdf:Benchmark>, <xccdf:Profile>, <xccdf:Group>, and <xccdf:Rule> elements to specific IT platforms SHALL be specified using Common Platform Enumeration (CPE) Names using the <xccdf:platform> element with a corresponding @idref attribute referencing the CPE Name.

CPE Names used within an XCCDF benchmark SHALL match the names of existing Official CPE Dictionary²⁰ entries where names for the desired platform exist. If multiple matches are found within the dictionary (e.g., deprecated and current CPE Names), the most current CPE Name SHOULD be used.

Each reference to a CPE Name SHALL be declared in the required CPE dictionary stream and each OVAL inventory class definition referenced from the dictionary stream SHALL be specified in the required CPE inventory stream.

If compound CPE Name statements are necessary, a CPE Language ccpe-lang:platformspecification> element SHALL be defined as a child of the xccdf:Benchmark> element. The
@id attribute for each ccpe-lang:platform> element declared in this manner may be referenced
within an xccdf:platform> element with a corresponding @idref attribute. Complex platforms
may be referenced this way within xccdf:Benchmark>, xccdf:Profile>, xccdf:Group>,
and xccdf:Rule> elements.

For example:

The Official CPE Dictionary is located at http://nvd.nist.gov/cpe.cfm.

```
</platform>
</platform-specification>
<platform idref="xp and acrobat"/>
```

4.2.3 The <xccdf:Benchmark> Element

The following requirements and conventions apply to the <xccdf:Benchmark> element:

- 1. The REQUIRED @id attribute SHALL be used to uniquely identify all revisions of a benchmark globally.
- 2. The @style attribute SHALL have the value "SCAP_1.1". If the @style attribute is not provided, its value SHALL be assumed to be "SCAP_1.0".
- 3. The <xccdf:status> element indicates the current status of the benchmark document. The associated text value MUST be "draft" for documents released in public draft state and "accepted" for documents that have been officially released by an organization. The @date attribute SHALL be populated with the date of the status change. Additional <xccdf:status> elements MAY be included to indicate historic status transitions.
- 4. The <xccdf:version> element SHALL uniquely identify the particular revision of the benchmark.
- 5. One or more instances of the <xccdf:notice> element MAY be provided indicating clarifications, suggestions, or warnings regarding the use of the benchmark, including but not limited to terms of use, legal notices, or copyright statements.
- 6. The <xccdf:metadata> element SHALL be provided and SHALL contain the Dublin Core²¹ terms from Table 4-1:

Dublin Core TermDescription of Use<dc:creator>The person, organization, and/or service that created the XCCDF XML instance<dc:publisher>The person, organization, and/or service that published the XCCDF XML instance<dc:contributor>The person, organization, and/or service that contributed to the creation of the XCCDF XML instance<dc:source>An identifier that indicates the organizational context of the xccdf:Benchmark> element's @id attribute. An organizationally specific URI SHOULD be used.

Table 4-1. Use of Dublin Core Terms in XCCDF Metadata

4.2.4 The <xcdf:Profile> Element

The use of an <xccdf:Profile> element SHALL NOT be required. If an <xccdf:Profile> element is not provided or selected, then profile processing SHALL be omitted and standard XCCDF benchmark processing rules SHALL apply.²²

²¹ http://dublincore.org/documents/dces/

4.2.5 The <xccdf:Rule> Element

The following restrictions apply to the $\langle xccdf:Rule \rangle$ element:

- 1. The @weight attribute SHALL be provided on <xccdf:Rule> elements.
 - a. If the <xccdf:Rule> represents a configuration issue, then the @weight attribute value SHALL be defined as "10.0" as a placeholder for Common Configuration Scoring System (CCSS) scores to indicate the highest possible weight. Once the CCSS is adopted into a future version of SCAP and CCSS scores are available, these values will be replaced with appropriate CCSS scores.
 - b. If the XCCDF document represents software flaws, then the CVSS metric SHALL be defined in the <code>@weight</code> attribute on the <code><xccdf:Rule></code> elements.
- 2. If either a CVSS or a CCSS score is included, then the associated scoring vector SHALL be provided as the value of the <xccdf:impact-metric> element.

4.2.5.1 Embedded CCE References

XCCDF
xccdf:Rule> elements MAY be used to define a policy requiring compliance with a specific configuration setting. When a configuration setting having one or more associated CCE Identifiers from the CCE List is expressed as an XCCDF rule, an
xccdf:ident> element
reference SHALL be provided within the
Rule> element
The
xccdf:ident> element
provides a globally unique identifier for a specific configuration setting.

The <xccdf:ident> element syntax SHALL be used as follows:

- 1. The system attribute for the <xccdf:ident> element SHALL be defined using the CCE
 Version 5 system identifier "http://cce.mitre.org".
- 2. The *CCE Identifier* SHALL be used for the <xccdf:ident> element content.
- 3. An <xccdf:ident> element referencing a CCE SHALL be ordered before other <xccdf:ident> elements referencing non-SCAP identifiers.

For example:

See NIST IR 7275r3, The XCCDF Specification version 1.1.4, p.36 section "Benchmark Processing Algorithm" for additional details

²³ See NIST IR 7275r3, The XCCDF Specification version 1.1.4, p.21 table, p.22 paragraph 5, and p.59 section "<ident>" for additional details.

4.2.5.2 Embedded CVE References

XCCDF < xccdf: Rule> elements MAY be used to assess security related software flaws. When this assessment is associated with one or more associated CVE Identifiers from the CVE vulnerability feeds, an < xccdf: ident> element²⁴ reference within the < xccdf: Rule> element SHALL be provided.

The <xccdf:ident> element syntax SHALL be used as follows:

- 1. The system attribute for the <xccdf:ident> element SHALL be defined using the CVE system identifier "http://cve.mitre.org".
- 2. The *CVE Identifier* SHALL be used for the <xccdf:ident> element content.
- 3. An <xccdf:ident> element referencing a CVE SHALL be ordered before other <xccdf:ident> elements referencing non-SCAP identifiers.

For example:

4.2.6 Allowed Check System Usage

All XCCDF requirements and conventions apply to the use of the <xccdf:check> and <xccdf:complex-check> elements relative to valid SCAP content and scanning tools with the following restrictions:

- 1. The <xccdf:check-content> element SHALL NOT be used to embed check content directly into XCCDF content.
- 2. If multiple <xccdf:check-content-ref>elements are provided, then processing SHALL:
 - a. Evaluate each <xccdf:check-content-ref> element in the order that it appears in the <xccdf:check> element. The first resolvable <xccdf:check-content-ref>
 element SHALL be used to determine the <xccdf:Rule> status.

See NIST IR 7275r3, The XCCDF Specification version 1.1.4, p.21 table, p.22 paragraph 5, and p.59 section "<ident>" for additional details.

c. Once a resolvable <xccdf:check-content-ref> element is found, then check system
processing SHALL proceed.

For example, refer to the appendix section 1 lines 223-226.

- 3. Use of XCCDF check systems as specified in the <xccdf:check> element's @system attribute SHALL be restricted as follows:
 - a. The following check systems SHALL be *supported* by SCAP:
 - i. Use of the OVAL check system SHALL be indicated by the http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5 system identifier. See Section 4.2.6.1.
 - ii. Use of the OCIL check system SHALL be indicated by the http://www.mitre.org/ocil/2 system identifier. See Section 4.2.6.1.3.
 - b. SCAP content SHALL be considered *well-formed* if an SCAP *supported* check system is used.
 - c. If a check system is used in XCCDF content that is not *supported* by SCAP, then this content SHALL NOT be considered *well-formed* with regards to SCAP.
 - d. SCAP scanning tools SHALL *implement* the SCAP *supported* check systems.
 - e. SCAP scanning tools MAY *implement* non-SCAP check systems that are not *supported* by SCAP.
 - f. Evaluation of an <xccdf:check> containing a reference to a non-SCAP check system
 SHALL produce an "unknown" result if an SCAP scanning tool does not *implement* the
 check system.

4.2.6.1 Use of the OVAL as a Check System

A rule MAY refer to one or more OVAL Definitions to implement the technical tests necessary to determine the pass/fail status of the rule. Embedded OVAL Definitions SHALL NOT be supported by SCAP XCCDF.

4.2.6.1.1 OVAL <xccdf:check> Usage

References from SCAP compliant XCCDF to OVAL Definitions SHALL use the form:

```
<check-content-ref href="OVAL_Source_URI" [name="OVAL_Definition_Id"]/>
```

The @href attribute SHALL identify the OVAL Definition XML stream. When present, the OPTIONAL @name attribute SHALL refer to a specific OVAL Definition in the designated content stream. When an XCCDF rule references a specific OVAL Definition, an OVAL Definitions source SHALL be available to resolve the reference.

In the previous example, the <xccdf:check-content-ref> element's @href attribute refers to an OVAL Definitions stream containing one or more OVAL patch definitions. This <xccdf:check-content-ref> is equivalent to referencing a virtual OVAL Definition of the form:

where the extended definitions are the individual patch definitions defined in the OVAL content stream.²⁵

4.2.6.1.2 <xccdf: Value> and OVAL Variable Dependencies

One or more <xccdf:check-export> elements MAY be used to define the binding of XCCDF values to OVAL variables. The format of these elements is:

```
<check-export xmlns="http://checklists.nist.gov/xccdf/1.1"
    value-id="XCCDF_Value_id" export-name="OVAL_External_Variable_id"/>
```

The following check element example demonstrates the use of this convention:

The type and value binding of the specified XCCDF Value is constrained to match that lexical representation of the indicated OVAL Variable Data Type. Table 4-2 summarizes the constraints regarding data type usage. Additional information regarding OVAL and XCCDF data types can be found in the OVAL Common Schema documentation²⁶ and the XCCDF specification²⁷.

The Inventory definition results are not to be considered in the overall patch results. The intent is to logically combine the result of each definition with a class of 'patch' using the AND operation. The patch definition file may contain a mix of patch and inventory definitions and the overall patch checking result should be the AND of just the patch definition results values.

http://oval.mitre.org/language/download/schema/version5.4/ovaldefinition/documentation/oval-common-schema.html#DatatypeEnumeration and

http://oval.mitre.org/language/download/schema/version5.3/ovaldefinition/documentation/oval-definitions-schema.pdf http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistir/ir7275r3/NISTIR-7275r3.pdf

Table 4-2. XCCDF-OVAL Data Export Matching Constraints

| OVAL Data Type | Matching XCCDF Data Type |
|--|--------------------------|
| int | number |
| float | number |
| boolean | boolean |
| string, evr_string, version, ios_version, fileset_revision, binary | string |

4.2.6.1.3 Mapping OVAL Results to XCCDF Results

When an <xccdf:Rule> element references an OVAL Definition, the <xccdf:rule-result> that results from the application of that rule specifies an XCCDF rule result that is mapped from the OVAL Definition Result. This result is calculated by applying the referenced OVAL Definition to a target platform.

In some cases the derived results may seem counterintuitive, but when viewed in the appropriate context the underlying logic is evident. For example, if an OVAL Definition of class "compliance" is processed and the XCCDF returns a result of "True", the tool is conveying the fact that the system was found to be compliant with that check and therefore returns a "Pass" result. A similar definition for a vulnerable condition will return results of "False" if that vulnerability was not found on the examined devices, resulting in a "Pass" from the XCCDF rule. SCAP compliant processors that generate XCCDF
xccdf:rule-result elements SHALL apply the mapping illustrated in Table 4-3Error!

Reference source not found. when deriving XCCDF rule results from OVAL Definition processing.

The corresponding xccdf:result value SHALL be recorded based on the @class of the OVAL Definition where applicable.

Table 4-3. Deriving XCCDF Rule Results from OVAL Definition Results

| OVAL D | XCCDF Rule Result | |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|
| error | | error |
| unknown | | unknown |
| not applicable | | notapplicable |
| not evaluated | | notchecked |
| Definition Class | Definition Result | |
| compliance | true | |
| vulnerability | false | pass |
| inventory | true | |
| patch | false | |
| Definition Class | Definition Result | |
| compliance | false | |
| vulnerability | true | fail |
| inventory | false | |
| patch | true | - |

4.2.6.2 Use of OCIL as a Check System

Similar to OVAL, an <xccdf:Rule>MAY refer to one or more OCIL Questionnaires for tests that requires manual processing (i.e., requires human feedback) to determine the state of the rule.

4.2.6.2.1 OCIL <xccdf:check> Usage

Embedded OCIL Questionnaires SHALL NOT be supported by SCAP XCCDF. References from SCAP compliant XCCDF to OCIL Questionnaires SHALL use the form:

```
<check-content-ref href="OCIL Source URI" [name="OCIL Questionnaire Id"]/>
```

The @href attribute identifies the OCIL Questionnaire XML stream. When present, the optional @name attribute refers to a specific OCIL Questionnaire in the designated content stream. When an XCCDF rule references a specific OCIL Questionnaire, an OCIL Questionnaire source SHALL be available to resolve the reference.

In the previous example, the <xccdf:check-content-ref> element's @href attribute refers to an OCIL Questionnaire stream containing one or more test actions. This @check-content-ref is equivalent to referencing a virtual OCIL Questionnaire of the form:

4.2.6.2.2 <xccdf: Value> and OCIL Variable Dependencies

OCIL provides support for defining values that can be reused in stating questions and evaluating questionnaires. These values MAY be passed from XCCDF as value parameters and into OCIL as external variables.

When an XCCDF Rule refers to an OCIL Questionnaire requiring one or more external variables, it SHALL include <xccdf:check-export> elements that define bindings from XCCDF values to OCIL variables. All check-export elements SHALL precede the check-content-ref element.

The format of these elements is:

```
<check-export xmlns="http://checklists.nist.gov/xccdf/1.1"
    value-id="XCCDF_Value_id" export-name="OCIL_External_Variable_id"/>
```

The following check element example demonstrates the use of this convention:

The type and value binding of the specified XCCDF Value SHALL be constrained to match that lexical representation of the indicated OCIL Variable Data Type. Table 4-4 summarizes the constraints regarding data type usage.

Table 4-4. XCCDF-OCIL Data Export Matching Constraints

| OCIL Variable Data Type | Matching XCCDF Data Type |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| TEXT | string; Boolean |
| NUMERIC | Number |

4.2.6.2.3 Mapping OCIL Results to XCCDF Results

Similar to OVAL, OCIL supports full status reporting that includes Error, Unknown, Not Tested, Not Applicable, Pass, and Fail. See Table 4-5 for mapping from OCIL Questionnaire Results to XCCDF Results. When evaluated, an OCIL document MAY contain an <inter:results> element that contains all the questionnaire results, including user responses and artifacts.

Table 4-5. Deriving XCCDF Rule Results from OCIL Questionnaire Results

| OCIL Questionnaire Result | XCCDF Rule Result |
|---------------------------|-------------------|
| Error | error |
| Unknown | unknown |
| Not Applicable | notapplicable |
| Not Tested | notchecked |
| Pass | pass |
| Fail | fail |

4.2.7 XCCDF Test Results

XCCDF test results are documented as the contents of an <xccdf: TestResult> element that either stands alone as the root of an XML document or is embedded as a child-element of an <xccdf: Benchmark> root element. In the latter case, the associated benchmark is the embedding benchmark; in the former, the <xccdf: TestResults> document requires an embedded <xccdf: Benchmark> element that identifies the associated benchmark. <xccdf: Benchmark> elements are ignored in <xccdf: TestResult> elements that are embedded in their associated benchmark.

To be considered valid SCAP result content, the following conditions SHALL be met:

- 1. One or more <xccdf:organization> elements SHALL be provided to indicate the organizational units responsible for applying the checklist.
- 2. The @start-time and @end-time attributes SHALL be provided to indicate when the scan started and completed, respectively.
- 3. The @test-system attribute SHALL be provided with a CPE Name value indicating the product that evaluated the checklist.

- 4. Regarding the definition and use of <xccdf: Profile> elements:
 - a. If no <xccdf:Profile> was selected, then the <xccdf:Profile> SHALL be omitted.
 - b. When using a profile during the processing of XCCDF content, the test results SHALL embed an <xccdf:Profile> element that identifies the non-abstract profile in the associated benchmark whose evaluation results are reported by the test results.
 - c. Reported rule results SHALL include all selected rules within the specified Profile.
 - d. Reported value-settings SHALL include all those values that are exported by the reported rules. The specific settings are those determined by the reported Profile.
 - e. The <identity> tag SHALL identify the security principal used to access rule evaluation on the target(s).
- 5. Each IP address associated with the <xccdf:target>SHALL be enumerated using the <xccdf:target-address> element.
- 6. The <rule-result> elements SHALL report the result of the application of each selected rule against all specified targets. The @rule_idref attribute of the <xccdf:rule-result> SHALL identify the selected rule and each <xccdf:instance> element SHALL identify the corresponding <xccdf:target> element.
- 7. The following <xccdf:fact> elements SHALL be provided:

| XCCDF Fact | Description of Use |
|---|--|
| urn:scap:fact:asset:identifier:mac | Ethernet media access control address |
| urn:scap:fact:asset:identifier:ipv4 | Internet Protocol version 4 address |
| urn:scap:fact:asset:identifier:ipv6 | Internet Protocol version 6 address |
| <pre>urn:scap:fact:asset:identifier:host_name</pre> | Host name of the asset, if assigned |
| urn:scap:fact:asset:identifier:fqdn | Fully qualified domain name |
| urn:scap:fact:asset:identifier:ein | Equipment identification number or other inventory tag number |
| urn:scap:fact:asset:identifier:guid | Globally unique identifier for the asset, if assigned |
| <pre>urn:scap:fact:asset:environmental_inform ation:owning organization</pre> | Organization that tracks the asset on its inventory |
| <pre>urn:scap:fact:asset:environmental_inform ation:current_region</pre> | Geographic region where the asset is located |
| <pre>urn:scap:fact:asset:environmental_inform ation:administration_unit</pre> | Name of the organization that does system administration for the asset |

4.2.7.1 Assigning CVE Identifiers to Rule Results

The XCCDF <xccdf:rule-result> element provides data indicating the result of assessing a system using the identified XCCDF <xccdf:Rule> element. If the target XCCDF <xccdf:Rule> identified by the <xccdf:rule-result idref=""> attribute has one or more <ident>

elements²⁸ with the "http://cve.mitre.org" system identifier, then each <xccdf:ident> element SHALL also appear within the <xccdf:rule-result> element.

For example:

An <xccdf:rule-result> of "pass" SHALL indicate that the target platform satisfies all the conditions of the XCCDF rule and is unaffected by the vulnerability or exposure referenced by the CVE.

4.2.7.2 Assigning CCE Identifiers to Rule Results

The XCCDF <xccdf:rule-result> element provides data indicating the result of assessing a system using the identified XCCDF <xccdf:Rule> element. If the target XCCDF <xccdf:Rule> identified by the <xccdf:rule-result idref=""> attribute has one or more <xccdf:ident> elements with the "http://cce.mitre.org" system identifier, then each <xccdf:ident> element SHALL also appear within the <rule-result> element. For example:

An < xccdf: rule-result> of "pass" SHALL indicate that the target platform complies with the configuration setting guidance expressed in the XCCDF rule.

4.3 OVAL Conventions and Requirements

When used for SCAP purposes, OVAL content SHALL comply with one of the following document schema:

- <oval-def:oval_definitions> document A specification of OVAL Definitions, Tests, Objects, States, and Variables. This document MAY be used as a component of an SCAP data source.
- <oval-var:oval_variables> document A specification of external OVAL Variable bindings. Instances of this schema MAY be used as a component of an SCAP data stream to pass variable values to an OVAL interpreter.

28 See NIST IR 7275r3, The XCCDF Specification version 1.1.4, p.30 table and p.59 section "<ident>".

- <oval-sc:oval_system_characteristics> document A specification of target system characteristics, that is, the specification of OVAL Object values queried from a target system.
- <oval-res:oval_results> document The evaluation results of specified definitions and tests, as well as a copy of the OVAL System Characteristics from which the results can be derived.

4.3.1 Supported Previous Versions of OVAL (5.3, 5.4, and 5.5)

The primary version²⁹ of OVAL used in SCAP SHALL be OVAL version 5.6. Proper evaluation of OVAL Definition documents written against OVAL 5.6 and earlier versions of OVAL going back to OVAL version 5.3 SHALL be supported by tools. This requirement to support OVAL 5.3, OVAL 5.4, and OVAL 5.5, in addition to OVAL 5.6, ensures that content written in these earlier versions of the OVAL Language SHALL continue to be supported in SCAP and SHALL continue to be processed in SCAP tools. This approach, often referred to as the "least-version-principle", allows for SCAP content to remain viable over a longer period of time.

4.3.2 Support for Deprecated Constructs in OVAL

Within the OVAL Language constructs may be deprecated.³⁰ Deprecated constructs MUST be handled properly during OVAL Definition evaluation. Similar to the requirement to support previous minor versions of OVAL, this requirement will ensure that content that made use of these deprecated constructs continues to be supported in SCAP.

4.3.3 OVAL Schema Specification

All of the OVAL content MUST contain an <oval:generator> element. The version of any particular document instance SHALL be specified using the <oval:schema_version> content element of the <oval:generator> as in this example:

```
<oval:generator>
  <oval:product_name>The OVAL Repository</oval:product_name>
  <oval:schema_version>5.6</oval:schema_version>
</oval:generator>
```

The version of an <oval-def:oval_definitions> document SHOULD be chosen based on the version provided in the <oval:generator> element's <oval:schema version> element..

The version of an <oval-var:oval_variables> document SHALL be the same as that of the <oval-def:oval_definitions> document whose external variables are bound by the variables document.

4.3.4 OVAL Results

OVAL Definition evaluation results returned MUST be compliant with version 5.6 of the OVAL Results schema³¹ regardless of the version of the OVAL Definitions document that was evaluated. In order to be SCAP compliant, an SCAP scanning product SHALL be able to produce both thin and full OVAL Results output. The specific result output SHALL be configurable.

_

The OVAL Language versioning methodology is available here: http://oval.mitre.org/language/about/versioning.html

The OVAL Language Deprecation policy is available here: http://oval.mitre.org/language/about/deprecation.html

The OVAL schemas are described in detail at http://oval.mitre.org/language/about.

While the OVAL specification permits limiting result status reporting, SCAP-compliant content includes full status reporting including Error, Unknown, Not Applicable, Not Evaluated, True, and False. Section 0 provides additional detail about OVAL Results as they relate to XCCDF.

In order to support SCAP instances where OVAL thin content (only the ID of the definition and the results) is preferred, SCAP content SHALL support all valid values for the oval-res:ContentEnumeration directives controlling the expected content of the results file.

4.4 **OCIL Conventions**

OCIL content SHALL be used for checking rules that cannot be fully automated with OVAL, OCIL content SHALL comply with the OCIL schema. Similar to OVAL, all content MUST contain an <inter:generator> element describing the creation of the document. The version of any OCIL document instance SHALL be specified using the <inter:schema version> element. See example below:

```
<inter:generator>
  <author>
    <name>John Doe</name>
  <inter:schema version>2.0</inter:schema version>
  <inter:timestamp> 2009-08-24T12:06:31</inter:timestamp>
  <inter:product name>OCIL Document</inter:product name>
</inter:generator>
```

The version in which to validate a document instance SHOULD be chosen based on the version provided at the <inter:generator>/<inter:schema version> element's value.

An OCIL document MUST contain at least one <inter:guestionnaire> element, one <inter:test action> derived element, and one <inter:question> derived element that describes a single check. It MAY contain <inter:variables> for specifying values: 1) defined by the author, 2) derived based on answers to questions, or 3) declared externally. It MAY also contain <inter:artifacts> to hold evidence or supporting objects for user responses to questions.

4.5 CPE Conventions

CPE Names supported by the Official CPE Dictionary data feed or the custodial list supported by The MITRE Corporation³² may be used by SCAP components to reference CPE Names. The process for assigning new CPE Names is supported by The MITRE Corporation.³³ Local enumerations are permitted, but if a CPE Name for a product or platform exists in the Official CPE Dictionary, the tool SHALL use that official identifier.

Section 8 of CPE Specification 2.2 provides the defining structure of the Official CPE Dictionary. For certain names, a <cpe dict:cpe-item> MAY contain one or more <check> elements that references OVAL system inventory definitions using the following format:

```
<cpe dict:check system="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5"</pre>
      href="Oval URL">Oval inventory definition id/cpe dict:check>
```

For example:

The Official CPE Dictionary is located at http://nvd.nist.gov/cpe.cfm.

The referenced OVAL inventory definition specifies the technical procedure for determining whether or not a specific target asset is an instance of the CPE Name specified by the <cpe_dict:cpe-item> element. This usage is encouraged for CPE dictionary components of SCAP expressed data streams.

If a <cpe_dict:cpe-item> contained in a CPE dictionary component of an SCAP data stream references an OVAL "inventory" definition, then that definition SHALL be resolved by a CPE Inventory component in the same data stream. ³⁴ Furthermore, the title of the <cpe_dict:cpe-item> SHALL match the title of an affected platform bound to the referenced definition. ³⁵

4.6 CCE Conventions

CCE identifiers are used by SCAP components to reference Common Configuration Enumerations. CCE identifiers for new configuration settings are assigned by the CCE Content Team.³⁶ To maintain consistency and accuracy among the SCAP validated tools, if a CCE entry for a particular configuration setting exists in the Official CCE Dictionary, the security products SHALL use the official CCE identifier. If no CCE exists for the configuration setting of interest, an alternate identifier MAY be used, but the user SHOULD seek to have a CCE identifier issued for the vulnerability.

The MITRE Corporation maintains the current official CCE list at http://cce.mitre.org/lists/cce_list.html and new CCEs can be requested from The MITRE Corporation at http://cce.mitre.org/lists/creation_process.html.

Use of an official, dynamic data feed is preferred to static coding of values in SCAP data sources. The NVD provides a data feed³⁷ that correlates CCE identifiers with the control identifiers described in SP 800-53.

4.7 CVE Conventions

CVE identifiers are used by SCAP components to reference publicly known software flaws. ³⁸ CVE references in SCAP content MAY include both "candidate" and "entry" status identifiers. The use of deprecated CVE identifiers SHALL NOT be allowed. If a CVE identifier exists for a particular vulnerability, security products SHALL use the official CVE identifier. If no CVE exists for the software flaw, an alternate identifier MAY be used, but the user SHOULD seek to have a CVE identifier issued for the vulnerability. The process for submitting unpublished vulnerabilities and obtaining CVE identifiers is available from The MITRE Corporation via http://cve.mitre.org/cve/obtain_id.html.

35 Section 4.2.2 explains more detail about OVAL Definitions.

More information is provided in Section 4.7.

http://cce.mitre.org/lists/creation_process.html documents the CCE Creation Process.

http://web.nvd.nist.gov/view/ncp/checklist-cce-feed?id=113&cid=2

NIST provides the NVD CVE data feed at http://nvd.nist.gov/download.cfm#CVE_FEED.

It should be noted that not all CVE entries identify an associated patch or remediation; in fact, the ability to determine the availability of a patch or remediation is a valuable feature of the CVE component. Vendors SHOULD reference CVE entries in notifications (e.g., security patch bulletins) to support the use of automated tools and to ensure clarity when referencing a given vulnerability. Similarly, CVE authors SHOULD reference applicable vendor patch identification whenever possible.

NIST provides a CVE data feed to support dynamic and current vulnerability information and associated metadata (e.g., CVSS values). The current schema is available at http://nvd.nist.gov/download.cfm.

4.8 CVSS Conventions

The CVSS specification (described at http://www.first.org/cvss/cvss-guide.html) defines Base score metrics that characterize the severity of the vulnerability using the intrinsic characteristics of the vulnerability. The CVSS framework also allows further refinement of the base score using Temporal Metrics, which may change over time (e.g., Exploitability, Report Confidence) and Environmental Metrics, which are unique to a particular environment (e.g., Collateral Damage Potential, Target Distribution).

If an accompanying CVSS score exists for a CVE, products SHOULD use it. CVSS base scores are provided for all CVE identifiers contained in the NVD CVE data feed. If a CVSS Base Metric is provided, it SHALL reflect the current Base score as reflected in the official source. SCAP users MAY leverage the flexibility provided within the CVSS component specification by deriving and using the Temporal and Environmental metrics as needed.

5. SCAP Use Case Requirements

To facilitate implementation of the SCAP requirements specified in Section 4, this section describes specific uses that demonstrate effective use of the protocol. The content of this section identifies the input data source conventions identified with the SCAP components and associates these with the following use case examples:

- Configuration Verification
- Vulnerability Assessment
- Patch Validation
- Inventory Collection

These examples are not intended to limit SCAP, but to provide a framework for future use cases and document the specifics of the data streams described. SCAP enables many types of automated assessment, each with discrete benefits and each considered separate content. For example, vulnerability assessment (i.e., quantitative and repeatable measurement and scoring of software flaw vulnerabilities across systems) is related to but separate from configuration verification.

5.1 SCAP Data Streams

An SCAP data stream is the expression of a security use case using one or more SCAP components that can be processed by an SCAP-validated product. The required XML content composing an SCAP data stream depends on the use case and is designed to satisfy specific policy or situational awareness objectives. Every SCAP data stream bundle SHALL use a common locator prefix that is part of a relative URL whose base is the URL of the deployed data source. The notation 'xxxx' designates a locator prefix that SHALL be associated with a use case specific data source component stream.

For example:

```
file:///c:/content/example-winxp-xccdf.xml
The URL base is: file:///c:/content/
The locator prefix is: example-winxp
The component stream is: xccdf.xml
```

5.2 SCAP Configuration Verification

SCAP enables automated processes to compare system characteristics and settings against an SCAP-expressed checklist. Using such a process, such as that referenced in NIST SP 800-68, *Guide to Securing Microsoft Windows XP Systems for IT Professionals*, a user may confirm compliance and identify deviations from checklists appropriate for relevant operating systems and/or applications.

The following data sources are necessary to support SCAP-compliant configuration verification use cases:

Table 5-1. SCAP Configuration Verification Data Sources

| Component | Stream Locator | Required/Optional |
|--------------------|------------------------|-------------------|
| XCCDF Benchmark | xxxxxccdf.xml | Required |
| OVAL Compliance | xxxxoval.xml | Required |
| OVAL Patch | xxxxpatches.xml | Optional |
| OCIL Questionnaire | xxxxocil.xml | Optional |
| CPE Dictionary | xxxxcpe-dictionary.xml | Required |
| CPE Inventory | xxxxcpe-oval.xml | Required |

For an SCAP configuration verification data source to be processed by the appropriate SCAP-validated product:

- 1. Each Rule specified in the XCCDF benchmark SHALL include an <ident> element containing a CCE reference, where an appropriate reference exists.
- 2. If an <ident> is specified in an XCCDF benchmark Rule, then that reference SHALL match the CCE reference found in the associated OVAL Definition(s).
- 3. The XCCDF <xccdf:Benchmark> element SHALL contain references to one or more CPEs.
- 4. XCCDF configuration scanning processes SHALL produce XCCDF Results and OVAL Results that comply with the XCCDF and OVAL Results schema. It MAY also produce OCIL Results that comply with the OCIL schema.
- 5. XCCDF Results documents SHALL include a result for each rule that was evaluated during the scan. OVAL Results documents SHALL include the results of every OVAL Definition used to generate the reported rule results. OCIL Results documents SHALL include the results of every OCIL questionnaire used to evaluate rules.
- 6. If an XCCDF rule references a specific OVAL Definition, then:
 - a. The referenced OVAL Definition MUST be a "compliance" class definition.
 - b. Definitions directly or indirectly (transitive) extended SHALL be limited to classes "inventory" and "compliance".
 - c. If an OVAL "compliance" class definition maps to one or more CCE identifiers, the definition SHOULD include *<oval-def:reference>* elements that reference those identifiers using the following format:

```
<oval-def:reference source="CCE" ref id="CCE identifier"/>
```

- 7. An XCCDF benchmark MAY include a "patches up-to-date" rule that references an OVAL patch component stream. If such a rule is used, the OVAL patch component MUST be included in the OVAL compliance data source as described in Section 5.4.2.
- 8. An XCCDF benchmark MAY enumerate one patch per rule. If this approach is used, a specific OVAL Definition of class "patch" MUST be referenced in the OVAL Patch component stream.

- 9. An XCCDF rule MAY reference an OCIL questionnaire that MUST be completed with the help of a user.
- 10. An OVAL Compliance component is an <oval-def:oval_definitions> document that specifies definitions for validating the compliance status of target platforms. An OVAL compliance component SHALL specify at least one definition of class "compliance."

5.3 SCAP Vulnerability Assessment

In the context of SCAP, a vulnerability is defined as a software flaw that introduces a security exposure. SCAP enables interoperability among vulnerability scanners and reporting tools to provide consistent detection and reporting of these flaws and supports comprehensive remediation tool capabilities.

5.3.1 SCAP Vulnerability Assessment Using XCCDF and OVAL

Effective vulnerability assessment using a combination of SCAP components requires the following data sources:

| Component | Stream Locator | Required/Optional |
|--------------------|------------------------|-------------------|
| XCCDF Benchmark | xxxxxccdf.xml | Required |
| OVAL Vulnerability | xxxxoval.xml | Required |
| OVAL Patch | xxxxpatches.xml | Optional |
| OCIL Questionnaire | xxxxocil.xml | Optional |
| CPE Dictionary | xxxxcpe-dictionary.xml | Required |
| CPE Inventory | xxxxcpe-oval.xml | Required |

Table 5-2. SCAP Vulnerability Assessment Data Sources

For an SCAP Vulnerability Assessment to be performed by the appropriate SCAP-validated product, the following conditions SHALL be met:

- 1. The XCCDF <xccdf:Benchmark> element SHALL contain references to one or more CPEs.
- 2. XCCDF Vulnerability Scanning SHALL generate an XCCDF Results file. The XCCDF Results document SHALL include a result for each rule that was evaluated during the scan.
- 3. Each Rule specified in an XCCDF benchmark SHALL include an <ident> element containing a CVE reference, where an appropriate reference exists.
- 4. Each Rule specified in an XCCDF benchmark SHALL reference a specific OVAL vulnerability, patch, or inventory definition; except in cases where no automated mechanism exists to express a check in OVAL.
- 5. Each Rule specified in an XCCDF benchmark SHALL reference an OCIL questionnaire ONLY for cases where there is no automated mechanism and the checks require human feedback.
- 6. If OVAL Results are generated:
 - a. OVAL Results SHALL be expressed in compliance with the OVAL Results schema, and

b. OVAL Results documents SHALL include the results of every OVAL Definition used to generate the reported rule results.

7. If OCIL Results are generated:

- a. OCIL Results SHALL be expressed in compliance with the OCIL schema, and
- b. OCIL Results documents SHALL include the results of every OCIL questionnaire used to generate the reported rule results.
- 8. If a CVE reference is specified in an XCCDF benchmark rule, then that reference SHALL match the CVE reference found in the associated OVAL Definition(s).

5.3.2 SCAP Vulnerability Assessment Using Standalone OVAL

For an OVAL-only vulnerability assessment to be processed by the appropriate SCAP-validated product, the following SHALL be present:

- 1. A Standalone OVAL Vulnerability Data Stream SHALL include an OVAL Vulnerability XML stream component that defines the applied OVAL vulnerability class definitions.
- 2. OVAL Definitions SHALL include CVE references, if such exist.
- 3. OVAL vulnerability data scanning SHALL generate an OVAL Results document that complies with the OVAL Results schema and includes the results of every OVAL Definition used to generate the reported rule results.
- 4. The OVAL Results document SHALL include a definition result with supporting system-characteristics data for every definition in the vulnerability data source.

5.3.3 OVAL Definitions and Vulnerability Assessment

An OVAL vulnerability definition is an <code><oval-def:oval_definitions></code> document that specifies definitions for assessing the vulnerability status of target platforms. An OVAL vulnerability definition SHALL specify at least one definition of class "vulnerability". An OVAL vulnerability definition may also reference definitions of class "inventory" or "compliance" that are extended (transitive) by the "vulnerability" class definitions.

If an OVAL "vulnerability" class definition maps to one or more CVE identifiers, the definition SHOULD include <oval-def:reference> elements that reference those identifiers using the following format:

```
<oval-def:reference source="CVE" ref id="CVE identifier"/>
```

OVAL "vulnerability" class definitions SHOULD also reference source patch identifiers, if they exist.

5.4 Patch Validation

In the context of SCAP, a patch is defined as a possible remediation for one or more software flaws that introduce a security exposure. SCAP enables interoperability among vulnerability scanners and reporting tools to provide consistent detection and reporting of the presence of installed patches and supports comprehensive remediation tool capabilities.

5.4.1 Using OVAL Definitions for Patch Validation

An OVAL patch definition is an *<oval-def:oval_definitions>* document that specifies definitions for assessing the patch status of target platforms. An OVAL patch definition SHALL specify at least one definition of class "patch". An OVAL patch definition may also include definitions of class "inventory" that are extended (transitive) by the "patch" class definitions.

If an OVAL "patch" class definition is associated with a source specific identifier (for example, KB numbers for Microsoft patches), these identifiers SHOULD be included in <oval-def:reference> elements contained by the definition.

For example:

```
<oval-def:reference source="www.microsoft.com/Patch" ref id="KB912919"/>
```

If an OVAL "patch" class definition maps to one or more CVE identifiers, the definition MAY include <oval-def:reference</pre> elements that reference those identifiers using the following format:

```
<oval-def:reference source="CVE" ref id="CVE identifier"/>
```

5.4.2 Referencing an OVAL Patch Data Stream

An OVAL Patch data stream may be referenced as part of another use case. This use SHALL be addressed as follows:

- 1. The <xccdf:Rule> element that references an OVAL Patch data stream SHALL have the @id attribute value of "patches_up_to_date".
- 2. A single <xccdf:check> element SHALL be provided for the <xccdf:Rule> with a @system attribute value of "http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5".
- 3. Each <xccdf:check-content-ref> element SHALL have an @href attribute referencing the OVAL Patch data stream with the @name attribute omitted.

For example:

5.5 SCAP Inventory Collection

Organizations require a consistent protocol for integrating inventory information from among a broad range of products, and SCAP provides excellent methods for collecting this data. For example, SCAP inventory data is an important input to the Risk Management Framework, ³⁹ establishing an effective

The Risk Management Framework is explained in NIST SP 800-53 Revision 3 at http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/PubsSPs.html.

foundation for system categorization and baseline security controls. For SCAP tools to collect this inventory information, the following data sources are required:

Table 5-3. SCAP Inventory Collection

| Component | Stream Locator | Required/Optional |
|-----------------|------------------------|-------------------|
| XCCDF Benchmark | xxxxxccdf.xml | Optional |
| CPE Dictionary | xxxxcpe-dictionary.xml | Required |
| CPE Inventory | xxxxcpe-oval.xml | Required |

In order for an Inventory scan to be processed by the appropriate SCAP-validated product:

- 1. The inventory data source SHALL include an OVAL Inventory component that defines the applied OVAL inventory class definitions.
 - a. An OVAL Inventory component SHALL be an <oval-def:oval_definitions> document.
 - b. Specified OVAL Definitions SHALL be "inventory" class definitions for verifying CPE match conditions.
- 2. OVAL vulnerability data scanning SHALL generate an OVAL Results document that complies with the OVAL Results schema and includes the results of every OVAL Definition used to generate the reported rule results.
- 3. The results document SHALL include a definition result with supporting system-characteristics data for every definition in the Inventory component.

Appendix A— Acronyms and Abbreviations

Appendix A defines selected acronyms and abbreviations used in the document.

CCE Common Configuration Enumeration
CPE Common Platform Enumeration

CVE Common Vulnerabilities and Exposures
CVSS Common Vulnerability Scoring System
DHS Department of Homeland Security
DISA Defense Information Systems Agency

DoD Department of Defense

FDCC Federal Desktop Core Configuration

FIRST Forum of Incident Response and Security Teams **FISMA** Federal Information Security Management Act

FSO DISA Field Security Operations

GPO Group Policy Object

HIPAA Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act

IT Information Technology

ITL Information Technology Laboratory NCSD National Cyber Security Division

NIST National Institute of Standards and Technology

NISTIR National Institute of Standards and Technology Interagency Report

NSA National Security Agency
NVD National Vulnerability Database

OCIL Open Checklist Interactive Language
OMB Office of Management and Budget

OS Operating System

OVAL Open Vulnerability and Assessment Language

PCI Payment Card Industry

PDI DISA Potential Discrepancy Item

RFC Request for Comments

SCAP Security Content Automation Protocol

SP Service Pack SP Special Publication

STIG Security Technical Implementation Guide

URI Uniform Resource Identifier URL Uniform Resource Locator

VMS DISA Vulnerability Management System

XCCDF eXtensible Configuration Checklist Description Format

XML eXtensible Markup Language

Appendix B—References and other Resources

Appendix B lists references and other resources related to SCAP 1.1 and its component specifications.

- [BAR09] Barrett, M., Johnson, C., Mell, P., Quinn, S., and Scarfone, K., NIST Special Publication 800-117 (Draft), "Guide to Adopting and Using the Security Content Automation Protocol (SCAP)", May 2009, http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/drafts/800-117/draft-sp800-117.pdf
- [BUT09] Buttner, A. and Ziring, N., "Common Platform Enumeration (CPE)—Specification, Version 2.2", MITRE Corporation, March 11, 2009. http://cpe.mitre.org/files/cpe-specification 2.2.pdf
- [QUI08] Quinn, S. and Ziring, N., NIST Interagency Report 7275 Revision 3, "Specification for the Extensible Configuration Checklist Description Format (XCCDF) Version 1.1.4", January 2008, http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistir/ir7275r3/NISTIR-7275r3.pdf

The resources below may be retrieved from the NIST SCAP web site:

- [1] CVE specification and description (http://scap.nist.gov/revision/1.1/index.html#cve)
- [2] CCE specification and description (http://scap.nist.gov/revision/1.1/index.html#cce)
- [3] CPE specification and description (http://scap.nist.gov/revision/1.1/index.html#cpe)
- [4] CVSS specification and description (http://scap.nist.gov/revision/1.1/index.html#cvss)
- [5] XCCDF specification and description (http://scap.nist.gov/revision/1.1/index.html#xccdf)
- [6] OVAL specification and description (http://scap.nist.gov/revision/1.1/index.html#oval)
- [7] OCIL specification and description (http://scap.nist.gov/revision/1.1/index.html#ocil)

Appendix C—SCAP Extensions to the XCCDF Specification

C.1 Rule and Group Selection

Rules and Groups may be selected for application in the context of either a Benchmark or a Profile contained by a Benchmark. This extension expands on the semantics of rule and group Selection.

- C.1.1. A group or rule is selected in a Benchmark if and only if at least one of the following is true:
 - a. The group or rule is immediately contained by the Benchmark and the 'selected' attribute of the group or rule is bound to true.
 - b. The group or rule is immediately contained by a group that is selected in the Benchmark and the 'selected' attribute of the subject group or rule is bound to true.
 - c. The group or rule is selected by association relative 40 to the Benchmark.
- C.1.2. A group or rule will be selected in a Profile only if it is either explicitly or implicitly selected in the Profile.
- C.1.3. A group or rule is explicitly selected in a Profile only if there exists a <select> contained by the Profile whose 'idref' attribute is bound to the id of the group or rule and whose 'selected' attribute is set to true. A group or rule is explicitly deselected in a Profile only if there exists a <select> contained by the Profile whose 'idref' attribute is bound to the id of the group or rule and whose 'selected' attribute is set to false.
- C.1.4. A group or rule is implicitly selected in a Profile if and only if it is not explicitly selected or deselected in the Profile and at least one of the following is true:
 - a. The group or rule is selected in the Benchmark.
 - b. The group or rule is selected in the Profile extended by the subject Profile.
 - c. The group or rule is selected by association relative to the Profile.

C.2 Selection by Association

The sequence of <requires> and <conflicts> optionally bound to a group or rule creates a set of directed associations rooted on the subject group or rule and terminating on other Groups or Rules. The resulting directed graphs are valid only if they are acyclic. The associations rooted on a group or rule may be used to determine an associative selection predicate on the subject group or rule. The selection predicate is evaluated as follows

- C.2.1. The selection value of a <requires> relative to the Benchmark is true only if all of the Groups or Rules referenced by the element are selected by the Benchmark, likewise the selection value relative to a Profile is true only if all of the Groups or Rules referenced by the element are selected in the Profile.
- C.2.2. The selection value of a <conflicts> relative to the Benchmark is true only if at least one of the Groups or Rules referenced by the element are deselected by the Benchmark, likewise the

-

Selection by association is discussed in C.2.

- selection value relative to a Profile is true only if at least one of the Groups or Rules referenced by the element are deselected in the Profile.
- C.2.3. The selection value of a sequence of <requires> and <conflicts> is true relative to the Benchmark only if at least one of the elements in the sequence evaluates to true relative to the Benchmark, likewise the value of the sequence relative to a Profile is true only if at least one of the elements in the sequence evaluates to true relative to the Profile.

Appendix D—SCAP Compliance Verification Data Stream Example

The content in this XML example section has been derived from the NIST SP 800-68 configuration guidance for Windows XP operating systems. This example content is referenced throughout this document as an illustration of how a potential SCAP data stream may be represented. Please note that much of the content from the original source has been removed or changed. The complete, original data stream can be downloaded at http://web.nvd.nist.gov/view/ncp/repository/checklistDetail?id=76.

D.1 XCCDF Benchmark

The following example XCCDF XML instance represents a configuration checklist.

Figure D-1. example-winxp-xccdf.xml

```
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
      <?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
      Senchmark id="Windows-XP-sample" resolved="0" xml:lang="en" style="SCAP_1.1"
   xmlns="http://checklists.nist.gov/xccdf/1.1" xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
        xmlns:cdf="http://checklists.nist.gov/xccdf/1.1" xmlns:cpe="http://cpe.mitre.org/dictionary/2.0"
        xmlns:dc="http://purl.org/dc/elements/1.1/" xmlns:xhtml="http://www.w3.org/1999/xhtml"
        xmlns:dsig="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#"
                       ion="http://checklists.nist.gov/xccdf/1.1 http://nvd.nist.gov/schema/xccdf-
           http://cpe.mitre.org/dictionary/2.0 http://cpe.mitre.org/files/cpe-dictionary 2.1.xsd">
        <status date="2009-09-18">draft</status>
<title>Windows XP Configuration Verification Example</title>
        <description>This checklist has been created to assist IT professionals with understanding the
         requirements and conventions defined in the NIST SP 800-126.</description>
        <notice id="terms-of-use" xml:lang="en">Do not attempt to implement any of the settings in this
         quide without first testing them in a non-operational environment. NIST assumes no
          responsibility whatsoever for its use by other parties, and makes no guarantees, expressed or
          implied, about its quality, reliability, or any other characteristic. NIST would appreciate
         acknowledgement if the document and template are used.</notice>
       <front-matter xml:lang="en">Example front matter//crear-matter xml:lang="en"><xhtml:strong>Trademark
          Information</xhtml:strong><xhtml:br/><xhtml:br/>Microsoft, Windows, Windows XP, Windows Vista,
          Internet Explorer, and Windows Firewall are either registered trademarks or trademarks of
         Microsoft Corporation in the United States and other countries.<xhtml:br/><xhtml:br/><All other</pre>
         names are registered trademarks or trademarks of their respective companies.</rear-matter>
                       ="http://nvd.nist.gov/chklst detail.cfm?config id=76">
          <dc:publisher>National Institute of Standards and Technology</dc:publisher>
          <dc:identifier>SP 800-68</dc:identifier>
        </reference>
        <platform id:</pre>
                        "cpe:/o:microsoft:windows xp"/>
        <version>v0.1</version>
        <metadata>
         <dc:creator>National Institute of Standards and Technology</dc:creator>
          <dc:publisher>National Institute of Standards and Technology</dc:publisher>
          <dc:contributor>John Doe</dc:contributor>
        </metadata>
        <!-- Scoring models supported by this checklist -->
        <model system="urn:xccdf:scoring:default"/>
<model system="urn:xccdf:scoring:flat"/>
        <Profile id="example-profile-1">
          <title>Federal Desktop Core Configuration version 1.2.1.0</title>
          <description>This profile represents quidance outlined in Federal Desktop Core Configuration
           settings for desktop systems with Windows XP installed.</description>
          <!-- Account Lockout Policy Settings -->
          <select idref="account lockout duration" selected="true"/>
          <!-- Password Policy Settings -->
          <select idref="minimum password length" selected="true"/>
          <!-- File System Policy -->
          <select idref="regedit.exePermissions" selected="true"/>
```

```
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
         <!-- ''' 4 - Fully Patched System
         <select idref="security patches up to date" selected="true"/>
         <refine-value idref="account_lockout_duration_var" selector="900_seconds"/>
<refine-value idref="minimum password length var" selector="12 characters"/>
 60
 61
62
        </Profile>
 63
        <!-- This is an example of an XCCDF Group that contains only text. It can be used to represent
 64
      prose sections of the checklist document to support document generation. -->
65
        <Group id="introduction">
<title xml:lang="en-US">Introduction</title>
         <description xml:lang="en-US">This is an example SCAP data stream for the Configuration
           Verfication use case. This data stream is based on the NIST SP 800-68. Please note that much
           of the content has been removed or changed. The complete, original data stream can be
           http://web.nvd.nist.gov/view/ncp/repository/checklistDetail?id=76.</description>
        </Group>
        <!-- *** 5 - FDCC Security Settings
        <Group id="security settings">
         <title>Security Settings</title>
         <description>The following controls must be checked in order to verify compliance.</description>
         <!-- ~~~ Account Policies Group
         <!-- ~~~~~~
         <Group id="account policies group">
          <title>Account Policies Group</title>
           <description>todo - description needed</description>
           Account Lockout Policy Settings -->
           <!--
           <!-- ~~~~
           <Group id="account_lockout_policy_settings">
             <title>Account Lockout Policy Settings</title>
             <description>Attackers often attempt to gain access to user accounts by guessing passwords.
              Windows XP can be configured to lock out (disable) an account when too many failed login
              attempts occur for a single user account in a certain time period. The following account
              lockout parameters are set in the NIST templates:<xhtml:p/>One of the main challenges in
              setting account policies is balancing security, functionality, and usability. For example,
              locking out user accounts after only a few failed logon attempts in a long time period may
              make it more difficult to gain unauthorized access to accounts by guessing passwords, but
              may also sharply increase the number of calls to the help desk to unlock accounts
              accidentally locked by failed attempts from legitimate users. This could also cause more
              users to write down their passwords or choose easier-to-remember passwords. Organizations
100
              should carefully think out such issues before setting Windows XP account
101
              policies.</description>
102
103
             <Value id="account lockout duration_var" type="number" operator="greater than or equal">
              <title>Account Lockout Duration</title>
104
              <description>The amount of time in seconds that an account is locked before it is
105
                automatically unlocked by the system. 15 minutes = 900 seconds A value of 0 means that
106
                an administrator must unlock the account.</description>
107
               <value>900</value>
              <value selector="admin_unlock">0</value>
<value selector="900 seconds">900</value>
108
109
110
               <value selector="86400 seconds">86400</value>
111
             </Value>
112
             <Rule id="account lockout duration" selected="false" weight="10.0">
113
              <title>Account Lockout Duration</title>
               <description>The lockout duration specifies how long the user account should be locked out
115
                after too many bad logon attempts. This is often set to a low but substantial value
116
                (e.g., 15 minutes), for two reasons. First, a legitimate user that is accidentally
                locked out only has to wait 15 minutes to regain access, instead of asking an
118
                administrator to unlock the account. Second, an attacker who is guessing passwords using
119
                brute force methods will only be able to try a small number of passwords at a time, then
120
121
                wait 15 minutes before trying any more. This greatly reduces the chances that the brute
                force attack will be successful.</description>
122
              <reference>
```

```
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
                   <dc:type>GPO</dc:type>
                   <dc:source>Computer Configuration\Windows Settings\Security Settings\Account
                     Policies\Account Lockout Policy</dc:source>
                 <ident system="http://cce.mitre.org">CCE-2928-0</ident>
                 <ident system
                                ="cce.mitre.org/version/4">CCE-980</ident>
                 <check system="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5">
130
131
132
                   <check-export value-id="account lockout duration var"</pre>
                        oort-name="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:var:15"/>
                    <check-content-ref href="example-winxp-oval.xml" name="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:def:23"/>
133
                 </check>
134
135
               </Rule>
             </Group>
136
137
138
             Password Policy Settings
             <!-- ~~~~~~~~~
139
             <Group id="password policy settings">
140
               <title>Password Policies</title>
141
142
               <description>In addition to educating users regarding the selection and use of good
                 passwords, it is also important to set password parameters so that passwords are
143
                 sufficiently strong. This reduces the likelihood of an attacker guessing or cracking
144
                 passwords to gain unauthorized access to the system. As described in Section 3.2.1, NIST
145
                 recommends the use of NTLM v2 or Kerberos instead of LM or NTLM v1 for authentication.
146
                 Windows XP offers the same password parameters as Windows 2000. The following parameters
147
                 are specified in the NIST templates:</description>
148
               <Value id="minimum_password_length_var" type="number" operator="greater than or equal">
149
                 <title>Minimum Password Length</title>
150
                 <description>The minimum number of characters required for a password</description>
151
152
153
                 <value>8</value>
                 <value selector="8_characters">8</value>
                 <value selector="9_characters">9</value>
154
                 <value selector="12 characters">12</value>
155
               </Value>
156
157
158
               <Rule id="minimum password length" selected="false" weight="10.0">
                  <title>Minimum Password Length</title>
                 <description>This setting specifies the minimum length of a password in characters. The
159
                   rationale behind this setting is that longer passwords are more difficult to guess and
160
                   crack than shorter passwords. The downside is that longer passwords are often more
161
                   difficult for users to remember. Organizations that want to set a relatively large
162
163
                   minimum password length should encourage their users to use passphrases, which may be
                   easier to remember than conventional passwords.</description>
164
                  <reference>
165
                   <dc:type>GPO</dc:type>
166
                   <dc:source>Computer Configuration\Windows Settings\Security Settings\Account
167
                     Policies\Password Policy</dc:source>
168
                 </reference>
169
                 <ident system="http://cce.mitre.org">CCE-2981-9</ident>
                 <ident system="cce.mitre.org/version/4">CCE-100</ident>
<check system="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5">
170
171
                   <check-export value-id="minimum password length var"
export-name="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:var:12"/>
172
173
174
175
                   <check-content-ref href="example-winxp-oval.xml" name="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:def:19"/>
                 </check>
176
177
               </Rule>
             </Group>
178
           </Group>
17<u>9</u>
           180
181
           <!-- ~~~ File Permissions Group ~~~ -->
182
           <Group id="file_permissions_group">
183
             <title>File Permission Settings</title>
184
185
             <description>This group checks the permissions of specified files.</description>
             <Rule id="regedit.exePermissions" selected="false" weight="10.0">
               <title>regedit.exe Permissions</title>
187
188
               <description>Failure to properly configure ACL file and directory permissions, allows the
                 possibility of unauthorized and anonymous modification to the operating system and
189
                  installed applications.</description>
190
               <reference>
                 <dc:type>GPO</dc:type>
                  <dc:source>Computer Configuration\Windows Settings\Security Settings\File
193
                   System</dc:source>
```

```
194
195
               </reference>
               <ident system="http://cce.mitre.org">CCE-2175-8</ident>
196
197
               <ident system="cce.mitre.org/version/4">CCE-795</ident>
               <check system="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5">
198
199
                <check-content-ref href="example-winxp-oval.xml" name="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:def:146"/>
               </check>
200
             </Rule>
201
201
202
           </Group>
         </Group>
\overline{203}
         <!-- ~~~~
204
         <!-- ~~~ Local Policies Group ~~~ -->
<Group id="local policies group">
           <title>Local Policies Group</title>
           <description>...</description>
           <!--
                    Security Options Settings
           <1-- ~~
           <Group id="security options settings">
             <title>Security Options Settings</title>
             <description>..</description>
             <Rule id="anonymous sid name translation" selected="false" weight="10.0" role="unchecked">
              <title>Network access: Allow anonymous SID-Name translation</title>
               <description>Determines if an anonymous user can request security identifier
                           (SID) attributes for another user or use a SID to get the corresponding
              </description>
               <reference>
                <dc:type>GPO</dc:type>
                 <dc:source>Computer Configuration\Windows Settings\Security Settings\Local
                           Policies\Security Options</dc:source>
              </reference>
              <ident system="http://cce.mitre.org">CCE-2973-6</ident>
              <ident system="cce.mitre.org/version/4">CCE-953</ident>
<check system="http://www.mitre.org/ocil/2">
                </check>
            </Rule>
           </Group>
         </Group>
         <!-- ****************
         <!-- *** 7 - Security Patches *** -->
         <!-- ***********
         <Group id="security patches">
           <title>Security Patches</title>
           <description>Securing a given computer has become increasingly important. As such, it is
             essential to keep a host up to current patch levels to eliminate known vulnerabilities and
             weaknesses. In conjunction with antivirus software and a personal firewall, patching goes a
            long way to securing a host against outside attacks and exploitation. Microsoft provides two
             mechanisms for distributing security updates: Automatic Updates and Microsoft Update. In
             smaller environments, either method may be sufficient for keeping systems current with
             patches. Other environments typically have a software change management control process or a
             patch management program that tests patches before deploying them; distribution may then occur
             through local Windows Update Services (WUS) or Windows Server Update Services (WSUS) servers,
             which provide approved security patches for use by the Automatic Updates
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
256
257
258
259
             feature.</description>
           <Rule id="security patches up to date" selected="false" weight="10.0">
             <title>Security Patches Up-To-Date</title>
             <description>Keep systems up to current patch levels to eliminate known vulnerabilities and
              weaknesses.</description>
             <check system="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5">
               <check-content-ref href="http://nvd.nist.gov/scap/content/fdcc-winxp-patches.xml"/>
               <check-content-ref href="example-winxp-patches.xml"/>
             </check>
           </Rule>
\frac{250}{260}
         </Group>
261
262
263
         <!-- ====
       </Benchmark>
```

D.2 OVAL Compliance

112314516789012234567890133333333334444444444455555555555556666

The following OVAL XML instance represents compliance definitions used to evaluate the XCCDF checklist from the previous section.

Figure D-2. example-winxp-oval.xml

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<oval definitions xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5"</pre>
  xmlns:oval="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-common-5"
  xmlns:oval-def="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5"
  xmlns:win-def="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#windows"
 xmlns:ind-def="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#independent"
 xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
                 ion="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#windows
http://oval.mitre.org/language/download/schema/version5.3/ovaldefinition/complete/windows-
definitions-schema.xsd
     http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#independent
http://oval.mitre.org/language/download/schema/version5.3/ovaldefinition/complete/independent-
definitions-schema.xsd
     http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5
http://oval.mitre.org/language/download/schema/version5.3/ovaldefinition/complete/oval-
definitions-schema.xsd
     http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-common-5
http://oval.mitre.org/language/download/schema/version5.3/ovaldefinition/complete/oval-common-
schema.xsd">
  <generator>
   <oval:product_name>National Institute of Standards and Technology</oval:product name>
    <oval:schema version>5.6</oval:schema version>
   <oval:timestamp>2009-04-08T15:04:22.000-05:00</oval:timestamp>
 </generator>
 <definitions>
   <definition id="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:def:23" version="1" class="compliance">
     <metadata>
       <title>Account Lockout Duration</title>
       <affected family="windows">
         <platform>Microsoft Windows XP</platform>
       </affected>
       <reference source="http://cce.mitre.org" ref_id="CCE-2928-0"/>
<reference source="cce.mitre.org/version/4" ref_id="CCE-980"/>
       <description>This definition verifies that locked accounts remains locked for the defined
         number of minutes before they are automatically unlocked.</description>
     </metadata>
     <criteria>
       <extend definition comment="Microsoft Windows XP is installed"</pre>
                tion_ref="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:def:2"/>
                  operator="OR">
        <criteria
         <criterion</pre>
                    -"Account Lockout Duration is set to keep accounts locked for at least the
defined number of minutes"
                   f="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:tst:19"/>
          <criterion</pre>
                   ="Account Lockout Duration is set to keep accounts locked until an
administrator unlocks them"
                    ="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:tst:1911"/>
       </criteria>
     </criteria>
   </definition>
   <definition id="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:def:19" version="1" class="compliance">
     <metadata>
       <title>Minimum Password Length</title>
       <affected family="windows">
         <platform>Microsoft Windows XP</platform>
       </affected>
        <reference source="http://cce.mitre.org" ref id="CCE-2981-9"/>
       <reference source="cce.mitre.org/version/4" ref id="CCE-100"/>
```

```
<description>Minimum password length is the profile defined number of
               characters</description>
            </metadata>
            <criteria>
              <extend definition comment="Microsoft Windows XP is installed"</pre>
              definition_ref="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:def:2"/>
<criterion comment="Minimum password length is profile defined"</pre>
               test ref="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:tst:15"/>
            </criteria>
          </definition>
          <definition id="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:def:146" version="1" class="compliance">
              <title>Administrators and System User Have Full Access to the SYSTEMROOT/regedit.exe
               File</title>
              <affected family="windows">
               <platform>Microsoft Windows XP</platform>
              </affected>
             <reference source="http://cce.mitre.org" ref_id="CCE-2175-8"/>
<reference source="cce.mitre.org/version/4" ref_id="CCE-795"/>
              <description>The Administrators group and the System user should have full access to the
               SYSTEMROOT/regedit.exe file and all other users should have no file access
               privileges</description>
            </metadata>
            <criteria>
             <extend definition comment="Microsoft Windows XP is installed"</pre>
              definition_ref="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:def:2"/>
<criteria operator="AND">
                <criterion
                  comment="The Administrators group is granted full access to the file regedit.exe"
                  test ref="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:tst:248"/>
                <criterion comment="The System user is granted full access to the file regedit.exe"</pre>
                        ef="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:tst:249"/>
                <criterion</pre>
                  comment="There are no access privileges to file regedit.exe by users not part of the
      Administrators group or the System user"
                         ="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:tst:250"/>
             </criteria>
100
            </criteria>
101
          </definition>
102
103
          104
          105
          <!--------
106
          <definition id="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:def:2" version="1" class="inventory">
107
            <metadata>
108
             <title>Microsoft Windows XP is installed</title>
109
              <affected family="windows">
110
               <platform>Microsoft Windows XP</platform>
111
              </affected>
112
              <description>Microsoft Windows XP is installed</description>
113
114
            </metadata>
            <criteria>
115
             <criterion comment="the installed operating system is part of the Microsoft Windows</pre>
116
117
                test ref="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:tst:6"/>
118
              <criterion comment="Microsoft Windows XP is installed"</pre>
119
                test ref="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:tst:7"/>
120
121
122
            </criteria>
          </definition>
        </definitions>
123
        <!-- ====
124
125
        123
126
127
128
129
        <tests>
          <family test xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#independent"</pre>
            id="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:tst:6" version="1"
            comment="the installed operating system is part of the Microsoft Windows family"
130
            check existence="at least one exists" check="only one">
131
132
            <object object_ref="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:obj:3"/>
            <state state ref="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:ste:14"/>
         </family test>
```

```
134
135
136
137
138
139
             <registry test xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#windows"</pre>
              id="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:tst:7" version="1" comment="Microsoft Windows XP is installed"
                      existence="at_least_one exists" check="at_least_one">
               <object object ref="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:obj:4"/>
              <state state ref="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:ste:15"/>
            </registry test>
140
             <passwordpolicy test xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#windows"</pre>
141
               id="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:tst:15" version="1"
142
               comment="Minimum password length is profile defined" check_existence="at_least_one_exists"
\overline{1}4\overline{3}
                 neck="all">
              <object object_ref="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:obj:8"/>
<state state_ref="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:ste:20"/>
144
145
146
            </passwordpolicy test>
147
             <lockoutpolicy test xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#windows"</pre>
148
               id="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:tst:19" version="1"
149
               comment="Account Lockout Duration is set to keep accounts locked for at least the defined
150
151
152
153
                                 "at least one exists" check="all">
              <object object_ref="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:obj:9"/>
<state state_ref="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:ste:25"/>
154
155
            </lockoutpolicy_test>
             <lockoutpolicy Test xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#windows"</pre>
156
157
              id="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:tst:1911" version="1"
                 omment="Account Lockout Duration is set to keep accounts locked until an administrator
158
        unlocks them"
159
              check_existence="at_least_one_exists" check="all">
  <object object ref="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:obj:9"/>
  <state state_ref="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:ste:2511"/>
160
161
162
             </lockoutpolicy test>
163
            <fileeffectiverights53 test xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-</pre>
164
        5#windows"
165
              id="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:tst:248" version="1"
               comment="The Administrators group is granted full access to the file regedit.exe"
check_existence="any_exist" check="all">
166
167
168
                                ref="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:obj:155"/>
169
              <state state ref="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:ste:51"/>
170
171
            </fileeffectiverights53 test>
            <fileeffectiverights53 Test xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-
172
        5#windows"
17\bar{3}
              id="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:tst:249" version="1"
               comment="The System user is granted full access to the file regedit.exe"
check_existence="any_exist" check="all">
174
175
176
177
178
               <object object ref="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:obj:156"/>
              <state state ref="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:ste:51"/>
             </fileeffectiverights53 test>
179
            <fileeffectiverights53_test xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-</pre>
180
              id="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:tst:250" version="1"
181
182
               comment="There are no access privileges to file regedit.exe by users not part of the
183
        Administrators group or the System user"
184
185
186
187
188
                check_existence="any_exist" check="all">
              <object object ref="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:obj:157"/>
<state state_ref="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:ste:52"/>
            </fileeffectiverights53 test>
          </tests>
189
          <!-- ======
190
          <!-- ==
                                191
          <!-- ==
192
          <objects>
193
            <family object xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#independent"</pre>
194
               id="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:obj:3" version="1"/>
195
            <registry object xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#windows"</pre>
196
                 ="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:obj:4" version="1">
197
               <hive>HKEY LOCAL MACHINE
198
               <key>SOFTWARE\Microsoft\Windows NT\CurrentVersion</key>
199
               <name>CurrentVersion</name>
200
            </registry object>
201
202
            <passwordpolicy object xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#windows"
id="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:obj:8" version="1"/>
             <lockoutpolicy object xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#windows"</pre>
               id="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:obj:9" version="1"/>
```

```
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
229
220
221
222
223
224
225
            <registry object xm
                                  lns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#windows"
                d="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:obj:79" version="1">
               <hive>HKEY LOCAL MACHINE
              <key>SOFTWARE\Microsoft\Windows NT\CurrentVersion</key>
              <name>SystemRoot</name>
            </registry object>
            <fileeffectiverights53 object xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-</pre>
        5#windows"
              id="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:obj:155" version="1">
               <path datatype="string" var ref="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:var:4"/>
              <filename>regedit.exe</filename>
              <trustee sid>S-1-5-32-544</trustee sid>
            </fileeffectiverights53 object>
            <fileeffectiverights53 object xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-</pre>
        5#windows"
               id="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:obj:156" version="1">
               <path datatype="string" var ref="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:var:4"/>
              <filename>regedit.exe</filename>
              <trustee sid>S-1-5-18</trustee sid>
            </fileeffectiverights53 object>
            <fileeffectiverights53 object xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-</pre>
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
        5#windows"
               d="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:obj:157" version="1">
              <set xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5"</pre>
        set operator="INTERSECTION">
                <set set operator="COMPLEMENT">
                  <object reference>oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:obj:318</object reference>
                  <object reference>oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:obj:156</object reference>
                <set set_operator="COMPLEMENT">
                  <object reference>oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:obj:318</object reference>
                  <object reference>oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:obj:158</object reference>
                </set>
              </set>
            </fileeffectiverights53 object>
240
241
242
243
            <fileeffectiverights53 object xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-</pre>
        5#windows"
                d="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:obj:318" version="1">
              <behaviors resolve group="true"/>
<path datatype="string" var_ref="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:var:4"/>
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
260
              <filename>regedit.exe</filename>
              <trustee sid operation="pattern match">.*</trustee sid>
            </fileeffectiverights53 object>
            <fileeffectiverights53 object xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-</pre>
        5#windows"
               .d="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:obj:158" version="1">
              <behaviors resolve_group="true"/>
<path datatype="string" var ref="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:var:4"/>
              <filename>regedit.exe</filename>
              <trustee sid>S-1-5-32-544</trustee sid>
            </fileeffectiverights53 object>
          </objects>
          261
            <family_state xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#independent"</pre>
262
263
264
                .d="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:ste:14" version="1">
               <family>windows</family>
            </family state>
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
            <passwordpolicy_state xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#windows"</pre>
               id="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:ste:20" version="1">
<min_passwd_len datatype="int" operation="greater than or equal"</pre>
                      ref="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:var:12"/>
            </passwordpolicy state>
            <lockoutpolicy_state xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#windows"</pre>
               id="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:ste:25" version="1">
<lockout duration datatype="int" operation="greater than or equal"</pre>
                     ref="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:var:15"/>
            </lockoutpolicy state>
            <lockoutpolicy_state xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#windows"</pre>
```

```
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
                   ="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:ste:2511" version="1">
               <lockout duration datatype="int">-1</lockout duration>
             </lockoutpolicy state>
             <registry state xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#windows"</pre>
                id="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:ste:15" version="1">
                <value datatype="string">5.1</value>
             </registry state>
             <fileeffectiverights53 state xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-</pre>
        5#windows"
               id="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:ste:51" version="1"
                 omment="specified account is granted full control">
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
               <standard_delete datatype="boolean">1</standard_delete>
               <standard_read_control datatype="boolean">1</standard_read_control>
               <standard write dac datatype="boolean">1</standard write dac>
               <standard write owner datatype="boolean">1</standard write owner>
               <standard synchronize datatype="boolean">1</standard synchronize>
               <file read data datatype="boolean">1</file read data>
<file write data datatype="boolean">1</file write data>
<file append data datatype="boolean">1</file append data>
               <file_read_ea datatype="boolean">1</file_read_ea>
296
               <file_write_ea datatype="boolean">1</file_write_ea>
297
298
               <file execute datatype="boolean">1</file execute>
<file_delete_child datatype="boolean">1</file_delete_child>
<u>2</u>99
               <file_read_attributes datatype="boolean">1</file_read_attributes>
<file write attributes datatype="boolean">1</file write attributes>
300
301
             </fileeffectiverights53 state>
302
             <fileeffectiverights53 state xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-</pre>
303
        5#windows"
304
               id="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:ste:52" version="1"
305
                comment="specified account has no access privileges">
306
               <standard_delete datatype="boolean">0</standard_delete>
<standard_read_control datatype="boolean">0</standard_read_control>
307
308
               <standard write dac datatype="boolean">0</standard write dac>
309
               <standard_write_owner datatype="boolean">0</standard_write_owner>
<standard_synchronize datatype="boolean">0</standard_synchronize>
310
               <access_system_security datatype="boolean">0</access_system_security>
<generic_read datatype="boolean">0</generic_read>
<generic_write datatype="boolean">0</generic_write>
<generic_execute datatype="boolean">0</generic_execute>
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
               <generic all datatype="boolean">0</generic all>
               <file_read_data datatype="boolean">0</file_read_data>
               <file write data datatype="boolean">0</file write data>
               <file append data datatype="boolean">0</file append data>
               <file_read_ea datatype="boolean">0</file_read_ea>
               <file write ea datatype="boolean">0</file write ea>
<file_execute datatype="boolean">0</file_execute>
322
323
               <file delete_child datatype="boolean">0</file_delete_child>
               <file_read_attributes datatype="boolean">0</file_read_attributes>
<file_write_attributes datatype="boolean">0</file_write_attributes>
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
             </fileeffectiverights53 state>
          </states>
           <variables>
             <local variable id="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:var:1" version="1"</pre>
                 comment="Windows system32 directory" datatype="string">
333
334
335
               <concat>
                  <object component object ref="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:obj:79" item field="value"/>
                  component>\system32
336
337
338
339
340
341
               </concat>
             </local variable>
             <local variable id="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:var:4" version="1" comment="Windows directory"</pre>
                         e="string">
               <object_component object_ref="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:obj:79" item field="value"/>
             </local variable>
             <external_variable id="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:var:12" version="1"</pre>
343
                         ="minimum password length" datatype="int"/>
             <external_variable id="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:var:15" version="1"</pre>
                comment="Account lockout duration" datatype="int"/>
           </variables>
```

The Technical Specification for the Security Content Automation Protocol (SCAP): SCAP Version 1.1 (DRAFT)

D.3 OVAL Patch

60

61 62 The following OVAL XML instance represents patch definitions used to assess the <xccdf:Rule> element from section 1 lines 223-226.

Figure D-3. example-winxp-patches.xml

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<oval_definitions xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5"</pre>
 xmlns:oval="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-common-5"
 xmlns:oval-def="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5"
  xmlns:ind-def="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#independent"
 xmlns:win-def="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#windows"
 xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
      chemaLocation="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-common-5
http://oval.mitre.org/language/download/schema/version5.3/ovaldefinition/complete/oval-common-
schema.xsd
 http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5
http://oval.mitre.org/language/download/schema/version5.3/ovaldefinition/complete/oval-
definitions-schema.xsd
 http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#windows
http://oval.mitre.org/language/download/schema/version5.3/ovaldefinition/complete/windows-
definitions-schema.xsd
 http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#independent
http://oval.mitre.org/language/download/schema/version5.3/ovaldefinition/complete/independent-
definitions-schema.xsd">
 <generator>
   <oval:product name>National Institute of Standards and Technology</oval:product name>
   <oval:schema version>5.3</oval:schema version>
   <oval:timestamp>2009-08-21T08:34:35.000-05:00</oval:timestamp>
 </generator>
 <definitions>
   <definition id="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.patch:def:5" version="0" class="patch">
     <metadata>
      <title>MS05-013: Vulnerability in the DHTML Editing Component ActiveX Control Could Allow
        Remote Code Execution (891781) </title>
       <affected family="windows">
         <platform>Microsoft Windows XP</platform>
         cproduct>Microsoft Internet Explorer
       </affected>
                      ce="Microsoft" ref id="MS05-013"
       <reference so
             url="http://www.microsoft.com/technet/security/bulletin/ms05-013.mspx"/>
       <reference source="Microsoft" ref id="KB891781"</pre>
             url="http://support.microsoft.com/kb/891781"/>
       <reference source="Bugtraq ID" ref id="11950"</pre>
             url="http://www.securityfocus.com/bid/11950"/>
       <reference source="CERT-VN" ref_id="VU#356600"</pre>
             url="http://www.kb.cert.org/vuls/id/356600"/>
                  source="CIAC" ref id="p-126"
             url="http://www.ciac.org/ciac/bulletins/p-126.shtml"/>
                  source="CVE" ref id="CVE-2004-1319"
       ref_url="http://cve.mitre.org/cgi-bin/cvename.cgi?name=CVE-2004-1319"/>
<reference source="OVAL" ref_id="oval:org.mitre.oval:def:3851"</pre>
ref url="http://oval.mitre.org/repository/data/getDef?id=oval:org.mitre.oval:def:3851"/>
       <reference source="OVAL" ref id="oval:org.mitre.oval:def:1701"</pre>
ref url="http://oval.mitre.org/repository/data/getDef?id=oval:org.mitre.oval:def:1701"/>
       <reference source="OVAL" ref id="oval:org.mitre.oval:def:4758"</pre>
ref_url="http://oval.mitre.org/repository/data/getDef?id=oval:org.mitre.oval:def:1114"/>
       <reference source="OVAL" ref id="oval:org.mitre.oval:def:3464"</pre>
```

```
ref url="http://oval.mitre.org/repository/data/getDef?id=oval:org.mitre.oval:def:3464"/>
 64
               <description>Microsoft has released MS05-013 to address security issues in Microsoft
 65
                 Internet Explorer as documented by CVE-2004-1319.</description>
 66
67
             </metadata>
                          mment="Software section" operator="AND">
             <criteria co
<extend definition comment="Microsoft Windows XP (32-bit) SP2 is installed"</pre>
                        tion_ref="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.patch:def:115276"/>
                <criterion comment="the version of dhtmled.ocx is less than 6.1.0.9232" negate="false"</pre>
                 test_ref="oval:org.mitre.oval:tst:427"/>
                <criterion comment="the patch kb891781 is installed (Hotfix key)" negate="true"</pre>
                        ef="oval:org.mitre.oval:tst:1151"/>
             </criteria>
           </definition>
            <definition id="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.patch:def:1784" version="2" class="patch">
             <metadata>
               <title>MS07-050: Vulnerability in Vector Markup Language Could Allow Remote Code
       Execution
                 (938127)</title>
               <affected family="windows">
                 <platform>Microsoft Windows XP</platform>
                 <platform>Microsoft Windows Vista</platform>
                 cproduct>Microsoft Internet Explorer
               </affected>
                              urce="Microsoft" ref id="MS07-050"
                <reference
                        l="http://www.microsoft.com/technet/security/bulletin/MS07-050.mspx"/>
                              urce="Microsoft" ref id="938127"
                  ref url="http://support.microsoft.com/kb/938127"/>
                <reference source="CVE" ref id="CVE-2007-1749"</pre>
                  ref_url="http://cve.mitre.org/cgi-bin/cvename.cgi?name=CVE-2007-1749"/>
               <reference source="OVAL" ref id="oval:org.mitre.oval:def:1784"</pre>
       ref url="http://oval.mitre.org/repository/data/getDef?id=oval:org.mitre.oval:def:1784"/>
 95
96
97
98
               <description>Microsoft has released MS07-050 to address security issues in the Vector
       Markup
                  Language (VML) implementation in Windows as documented by CVE-2007-1749.</description>
             </metadata>
 9ğ
             <criteria operator="OR">
100
                <criteria comment="IE 6 on Win XP SP2" operator="AND">
101
                 <extend definition comment="Microsoft Windows XP (32-bit) SP2 is installed"</pre>
102
103
                               ref="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.patch:def:115276"/>
                  <extend definition definition ref="oval:org.mitre.oval:def:563"</pre>
104
                    comment="Internet Explorer 6 is installed"/>
105
                  <criterion comment="the version of vgx.dll is less than 6.0.2900.3164"</pre>
106
                            ="oval:org.mitre.oval:tst:3856"/>
107
                </criteria>
108
                <criteria comment="IE 7 on Win XP SP2" operator="AND">
109
                  <extend definition comment="Microsoft Windows XP (32-bit) SP2 is installed"</pre>
110
                               ref="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.patch:def:115276"/>
111
                  <extend definition definition ref="oval:org.mitre.oval:def:627"</pre>
                   comment="Internet Explorer 7 is installed"/>
112
113
114
                  <criterion comment="the version of vgx.dll is less than 7.0.6000.20628"</pre>
                             ="oval:org.mitre.oval:tst:4182"/>
115
               </criteria>
116
                             ment="IE 6 on Win XP SP2 (64-bit)" operator="AND">
                <criteria (
117
                  <extend definition comment="Microsoft Windows XP SP2 (64-bit) is installed"</pre>
118
                           tion ref="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.patch:def:115277"/>
119
                  <extend definition comment="Internet Explorer 6 is installed"</pre>
120
121
122
                           tion ref="oval:org.mitre.oval:def:563"/>
                               omment="the version of vgx.dll is less than 6.0.3790.4106"
                  <criterion</pre>
                            f="oval:org.mitre.oval:tst:3422"/>
123
               </criteria>
124
125
                <criteria comment="IE 7 on Win XP SP2 (64-bit)" operator="AND">
                  <extend definition comment="Microsoft Windows XP SP2 (64-bit) is installed"</pre>
123
126
127
128
129
                           ion ref="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.patch:def:115277"/>
                  <extend definition definition ref="oval:org.mitre.oval:def:627"</pre>
                    comment="Internet Explorer 7 is installed"/>
                  <criterion comment="the version of vgx.dll is less than 7.0.6000.20628"</pre>
130
                           ef="oval:org.mitre.oval:tst:4182"/>
131
               </criteria>
             </criteria>
           </definition>
```

```
134
135
136
137
          138
139
          <definition id="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.patch:def:115275" version="0" class="inventory">
            <metadata>
140
              <title>Microsoft Windows XP is installed</title>
141
              <affected family="windows">
142
                <platform>Microsoft Windows XP</platform>
143
              </affected>
144
                            urce="CPE" ref id="cpe:/o:microsoft:windows_xp"/>
              <reference son
145
              <description>The operating system installed on the system is Microsoft Windows
146
                XP.</description>
147
            </metadata>
148
            <criteria operator="AND">
149
              <criterion comment="the installed operating system is part of the Microsoft Windows</pre>
150
151
152
153
                     ref="oval:org.mitre.oval:tst:99"/>
              <criterion comment="Windows XP is installed"</pre>
       test ref="oval:gov.nist.fccc.patch:tst:57914"/>
154
155
              <criterion comment="Currentversion = 5.1 or 5.2"</pre>
                     ref="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.patch:tst:115300"/>
156
157
            </criteria>
          </definition>
158
          <definition id="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.patch:def:115276" version="0" class="inventory">
159
            <metadata>
160
              <title>Microsoft Windows XP (32-bit) SP2 is installed</title>
161
              <affected family="windows">
162
                <platform>Microsoft Windows XP</platform>
163
              </affected>
164
                            urce="CPE" ref id="cpe:/o:microsoft:windows xp::sp2:x86"/>
               <reference so
165
              <description>A version of Microsoft Windows XP (32-bit) SP2 is installed./description>
166
            </metadata>
            <criteria operator="AND">
167
168
              <extend definition comment="Microsoft Windows XP is installed"</pre>
                      tion_ref="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.patch:def:115275"/>
169
170
171
              <criterion comment="a version of Windows for the x86 architecture is installed"</pre>
                     ref="oval:org.mitre.oval:tst:3823"/>
172
               <criterion comment="Win2K/XP/2003 service pack 2 is installed"</pre>
173
                        f="oval:org.mitre.oval:tst:3019"/>
174
            </criteria>
175
          </definition>
176
177
178
           <definition id="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.patch:def:115277" version="0" class="inventory">
            <metadata>
              <title>Microsoft Windows XP (64-bit) SP2 is installed</title>
179
              <affected family="windows">
180
                <platform>Microsoft Windows XP</platform>
181
              </affected>
182
              <reference source="CPE" ref id="cpe:/o:microsoft:windows xp::sp2:x64"/>
183
              <description>A version of Microsoft Windows XP (64-bit) SP2 is installed.
184
185
186
187
188
            </metadata>
             <criteria op
                          ator="AND">
              <extend definition comment="Microsoft Windows XP is installed"</pre>
              definition_ref="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.patch:def:115275"/>
<criterion_comment="a version of Windows for the x64 architecture is installed"</pre>
189
                test ref="oval:org.mitre.oval:tst:3653"/>
190
              <criterion comment="Win2K/XP/2003 service pack 2 is installed"</pre>
191
                       ef="oval:org.mitre.oval:tst:3019"/>
192
            </criteria>
193
          </definition>
194
          <definition id="oval:org.mitre.oval:def:563" version="3" class="inventory">
195
            <metadata>
196
              <title>Microsoft Internet Explorer 6 is installed</title>
197
              <affected family="windows">
198
                <platform>Microsoft Windows 2000</platform>
199
                <platform>Microsoft Windows XP</platform>
200
                <platform>Microsoft Windows Server 2003</platform>
201
202
              </affected>
              <reference source="CPE" ref id="cpe:/a:microsoft:ie:6"/>
              <description>The application Microsoft Internet Explorer 6 is installed.</description>
              <oval repository>
```

```
<dates>
                   <submitted date="2006-08-11T12:53:40">
                     <contributor organization="ThreatGuard, Inc.">Robert L. Hollis</contributor>
                   </submitted>
                   <status change date="2006-09-08T11:26:00.000-04:00">DRAFT</status change>
                   <status_change date="2006-09-27T12:29:31.086-04:00">INTERIM</status_change>
<status_change date="2006-10-16T15:58:44.500-04:00">ACCEPTED</status_change>
                   <modified comment="Added an anchor to the regex used to check for Internet Explorer</pre>
                      date="2007-01-11T20:38:00.950-05:00">
                     <contributor organization="The MITRE Corporation">Matthew Wojcik</contributor>
                   </modified>
                   <status change date="2007-01-11T20:49:17.329-05:00">INTERIM/status change>
                   <status change date="2007-02-20T13:40:46.580-05:00">ACCEPTED</status change>
                   <modified comment="Added CPE reference." date="2007-04-30T07:48:00.756-04:00">
                     <contributor organization="The MITRE Corporation">Jonathan Baker</contributor>
                   </modified>
                   <status change date="2007-04-30T07:54:07.779-04:00">INTERIM</status change>
                   <status change date="2007-05-23T15:05:48.577-04:00">ACCEPTED</status change>
                 </dates>
                 <status>ACCEPTED</status>
               </oval repository>
             </metadata>
             <criteria>
               <criterion comment="Internet Explorer 6 (any patch level) is installed"</pre>
                        ef="oval:org.mitre.oval:tst:2333"/>
             </criteria>
           </definition>
           <definition id="oval:org.mitre.oval:def:627" version="1" class="inventory">
            <metadata>
               <title>Microsoft Internet Explorer 7 is installed</title>
               <affected family="windows">
                 <platform>Microsoft Windows XP</platform>
                 <platform>Microsoft Windows Server 2003</platform>
                 <platform>Microsoft Windows Vista</platform>
               </affected>
               <reference source="CPE" ref id="cpe:/a:microsoft:ie:7"/>
               <description>A version of Microsoft Internet Explorer 7 is installed.
               <oval repository>
                 <dates>
                   <submitted date="2007-01-09T06:00:00">
                     <contributor organization="Secure Elements, Inc.">Sudhir Gandhe</contributor>
                   </submitted>
                   <status_change date="2007-01-11T15:30:00-04:00">DRAFT</status_change>
<status_change date="2007-02-20T13:40:49.320-05:00">INTERIM</status_change>
<modified comment="Added Microsoft Windows Vista to the list of affected platforms."</pre>
                     date="2007-03-05T09:10:00.104-05:00">
                     <contributor organization="The MITRE Corporation">Andrew Buttner</contributor>
                   </modified>
                   <status change date="2007-03-21T16:17:23.092-04:00">ACCEPTED</status change>
                 </dates>
                 <status>ACCEPTED</status>
               </oval_repository>
             </metadata>
             <criteria>
               <criterion comment="Internet Explorer 7 is installed"</pre>
       test ref="oval:org.mitre.oval:tst:178"
               />
             </criteria>
           </definition>
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
         </definitions>
         <tests>
           <registry test xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#windows"</pre>
             id="oval:gov.nist.fccc.patch:tst:57914" version="0" comment="Windows XP is installed" check existence="at least one exists" check="at least one">
             <object object_ref="oval:org.mitre.oval:obj:5590"/>
             <state state ref="oval:gov.nist.fccc.patch:ste:53828"/>
           </registry test>
```

```
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
             <family test xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#independent"</pre>
              id="oval:org.mitre.oval:tst:99" version="1"
               comment="the installed operating system is part of the Microsoft Windows family"
                      existence="at least one exists" check="only one">
              <object object_ref="oval:org.mitre.oval:obj:99"/>
               <state state_ref="oval:org.mitre.oval:ste:99"/>
            </family test>
            <registry test xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#windows"</pre>
             id="oval:org.mitre.oval:tst:178" version="1" comment="Internet Explorer 7 is installed" check existence="at least one exists" check="at least one">
             <object object_ref="oval:org.mitre.oval:obj:247"/>
<state state_ref="oval:org.mitre.oval:ste:115"/>
287
288
289
            </registry_test>
            <file test xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#windows"</pre>
289
290
291
292
293
294
              id="oval:org.mitre.oval:tst:427" version="1"
               comment="the version of dhtmled.ocx is less than 6.1.0.9232"
                       existence="at_least_one_exists" check="all">
               <object object ref="oval:org.mitre.oval:obj:377"/>
              <state state_ref="oval:org.mitre.oval:ste:394"/>
295
            </file test>
296
             <registry test xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#windows"</pre>
297
298
              id="oval:org.mitre.oval:tst:1151" version="2"
               comment="the patch kb891781 is installed (Hotfix key)"
<u>2</u>99
        check_existence="at_least_one exists"
300
               check="at least one">
301
              <object object_ref="oval:org.mitre.oval:obj:823"/>
<state state ref="oval:org.mitre.oval:ste:1031"/>
302
303
            </registry test>
304
            <registry test xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#windows"</pre>
305
              id="oval:org.mitre.oval:tst:2333" version="2"
306
               comment="Internet Explorer 6 (any patch level) is installed"
307
              check_existence="at_least_one_exists" check="at least one">
<object object ref="oval:org.mitre.oval:obj:247"/>
308
309
              <state state ref="oval:org.mitre.oval:ste:2185"/>
310
            </registry test>
311
             <registry Test xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#windows"</pre>
312
              id="oval:org.mitre.oval:tst:3019" version="1"
313
               comment="Win2K/XP/2003 service pack 2 is installed" check existence="at least one exists"
314
                heck="at least one">
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
              <object object_ref="oval:org.mitre.oval:obj:717"/>
<state state ref="oval:org.mitre.oval:ste:2827"/>
            </registry_test>
            <file test xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#windows"</pre>
              id="oval:org.mitre.oval:tst:3422" version="3"
               comment="the version of vgx.dll is less than 6.0.3790.4106"
                      existence="at_least_one_exists" check="at least one">
322
323
              <object object ref="oval:org.mitre.oval:obj:308"/>
              <state state_ref="oval:org.mitre.oval:ste:3490"/>
324
            </file test>
325
326
327
328
            <regisTry test xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#windows"</pre>
              id="oval:org.mitre.oval:tst:3653" version="2"
               comment="a version of Windows for the x64 architecture is installed"
                       existence="at least one exists" check="at least one">
              <object object_ref="oval:org.mitre.oval:obj:1576"/>
<state state_ref="oval:org.mitre.oval:ste:3180"/>
329
330
331
332
            </registry test>
             <registry_test xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#windows"
333
              id="oval:org.mitre.oval:tst:3823" version="1"
334
               comment="a version of Windows for the x86 architecture is installed"
335
                      existence="at_least_one_exists" check="at least one">
              <object object_ref="oval:org.mitre.oval:obj:1576"/>
336
337
338
              <state state ref="oval:org.mitre.oval:ste:3649"/>
            </registry test>
339
            <file test xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#windows"</pre>
340
              id="oval:org.mitre.oval:tst:3856" version="3"
341
               comment="the version of vgx.dll is less than 6.0.2900.3164"
342
                      existence="at_least_one_exists" check="at least one">
343
              <object object ref="oval:org.mitre.oval:obj:308"/>
344
              <state state ref="oval:org.mitre.oval:ste:3185"/>
             </file test>
            <file test xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#windows"</pre>
```

```
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
             id="oval:org.mitre.oval:tst:4182" v
             comment="the version of vgx.dll is less than 7.0.6000.20628"
                    existence="at_least_one_exists" check="at least one">
             <object object ref="oval:org.mitre.oval:obj:308"/>
             <state state ref="oval:org.mitre.oval:ste:3412"/>
           </file test>
           <registry test xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#windows"</pre>
354
355
356
357
             id="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.patch:tst:115300" version="0"
             comment="a version of Microsoft Windows XP is installed"
       check existence="at least one exists"
             check="at least one">
358
359
             <object object_ref="oval:org.mitre.oval:obj:123"/>
<state state_ref="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.patch:ste:115263"/>
360
           </registry test>
361
         </tests>
362
         363
         364
         365
366
         <objects>
           <family object xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#independent"</pre>
367
             id="oval:org.mitre.oval:obj:99" version="1"
368
              comment="This is the default family object. Only one family object should exist."/>
369
           <registry_object xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#windows"</pre>
370
371
             id="oval:org.mitre.oval:obj:123" version="1"
                  ent="Registry key that hold the current windows os version">
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
             <hive>HKEY LOCAL MACHINE
             <key>SOFTWARE\Microsoft\Windows NT\CurrentVersion</key>
             <name>CurrentVersion</name>
           </registry object>
           <registry_object xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#windows"</pre>
             id="oval:org.mitre.oval:obj:247" version="1"
                 ent="This registry key identifies the version of internet Explorer">
             <hive>HKEY LOCAL MACHINE</hive>
             <key>SOFTWARE\Microsoft\Internet Explorer</key>
381
382
             <name>Version</name>
           </registry object>
383
           <registry object xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#windows"</pre>
384
385
             id="oval:org.mitre.oval:obj:281" version="1"
                 ent="The registry key that identifies the location of the common files directory.">
386
387
388
389
390
             <hive>HKEY LOCAL MACHINE
             <key>SOFTWARE\Microsoft\Windows\CurrentVersion</key>
             <name>CommonFilesDir</name>
           </registry object>
           <file object xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#windows"</pre>
391
              d="oval:org.mitre.oval:obj:308" version="2">
392
             <path var ref="oval:org.mitre.oval:var:209" var check="all"/>
393
394
             <filename>vgx.dll</filename>
           </file object>
395
           <file object xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#windows"</pre>
396
              id="oval:org.mitre.oval:obj:377" version="1">
3<u>9</u>7
             <path var ref="oval:org.mitre.oval:var:206" var check="all"/>
398
             <filename>dhtmled.ocx</filename>
399
           </file object>
400
           <registry object xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#windows"</pre>
401
             id="oval:org.mitre.oval:obj:717" version="1"
402
                   nt="This registry key holds the service pack installed on the host if one is
403
404
             <hive>HKEY LOCAL MACHINE</hive>
405
             <key>SOFTWARE\Microsoft\Windows NT\CurrentVersion</key>
406
             <name>CSDVersion</name>
407
           </registry object>
408
           <registry object xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#windows"</pre>
409
                ="oval:org.mitre.oval:obj:823" version="2">
410
             <hive>HKEY LOCAL MACHINE
411
412
             <key operation="equals">SOFTWARE\Microsoft\Windows NT\CurrentVersion\Hotfix\KB891781</key>
             <name operation="equals">IsInstalled</name>
413
           </registry_object>
414
           <registry object xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#windows"</pre>
            id="oval:org.mitre.oval:obj:1576" version="1" comment="This registry key identifies the architecture on the system">
415
416
417
             <hive>HKEY LOCAL MACHINE</hive>
```

```
418
              <key>SYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\Control\Session Manager\Environment</key>
419
             <name>PROCESSOR ARCHITECTURE
419
420
421
422
423
424
           </registry object>
           <registry object xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#windows"</pre>
              id="oval:org.mitre.oval:obj:5590" version="1" comment="This registry key ProductName">
             <hive>HKEY LOCAL MACHINE</hive>
             <key>SOFTWARE\Microsoft\Windows NT\CurrentVersion</key>
425
             <name>ProductName</name>
426
427
428
           </registry_object>
          </objects>
         <!-- =
429
430
         <!-- ====
431
432
           <registry state xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#windows"</pre>
433
              id="oval:gov.nist.fccc.patch:ste:53828" version="0" comment="The registry key matches with
434
435
             <value operation="pattern match">.*[XPxp].*</value>
436
           </registry state>
           <family_state xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#independent"</pre>
437
438
              439
             <family>windows</family>
440
           </family_state>
441
           <registry state xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#windows"</pre>
442
             id="oval:org.mitre.oval:ste:115" version="1"
443
                 ment="The registry key has a value that matches 7.*">
                              n="pattern match">^7\..*$</value>
444
             <value operatio</pre>
445
           </registry state>
446
           <registry_state xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#windows"
447
448
             id="oval:org.mitre.oval:ste:1031" version="1">
             <value operation="equals" datatype="int">1</value>
449
           </registry_state>
450
           <registry state xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#windows"</pre>
451
             id="oval:org.mitre.oval:ste:2185" version="2"
452
453
              comment="The registry key has a value that matches 6.*">
             <value operation="pattern match">^6\..*</value>
454
455
           </registry_state>
           <registry state xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#windows"</pre>
456
457
             id="oval:org.mitre.oval:ste:2827" version="1"
                  ent="The registry key has a value of Service Pack 2">
458
             <value>Service Pack 2</value>
459
           </registry state>
460
           <registry state xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#windows"</pre>
461
               d="oval:org.mitre.oval:ste:3180" version="2">
462
             <value>amd64</value>
463
           </registry_state>
464
           <file state xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#windows"</pre>
             id="oval:org.mitre.oval:ste:3185" version="2">
<version operation="less than" datatype="version">6.0.2900.3164</version>
465
466
467
           </file state>
468
           <file_state xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#windows"</pre>
             id="oval:org.mitre.oval:ste:3412" version="2">
<version operation="less than" datatype="version">7.0.6000.20628</version>
469
470
471
           </file state>
472
           <file state xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#windows"</pre>
473
             id="oval:org.mitre.oval:ste:3490" version="2">
<version operation="less than" datatype="version">6.0.3790.4106</version>
474
475
           </file state>
476
477
           <registry state xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#windows"</pre>
               d="oval:org.mitre.oval:ste:3649" version="1" comment="x86 architecture">
478
             <value>x86</value>
479
           </registry state>
480
           <file state xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#windows"</pre>
             id="oval:org.mitre.oval:ste:394" version="1">
  <version datatype="version" operation="less than">6.1.0.9232</version>
481
482
483
           </file state>
484
           <registry state xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#windows"</pre>
485
             id="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.patch:ste:115263" version="0"
486
               omment="The registry key has a value of 5.1 or 5.2">
             <value operation="pattern match">5\.(1|2)</value>
           </registry state>
```

```
489
      </states>
490
      49Ĭ
      492
      493
494
      <variables>
       <local_variable id="oval:org.mitre.oval:var:206" version="1"</pre>
495
496
             t="Windows common files\microsoft shared\triedit directory" datatype="string">
497
         <object_component item_field="value" object ref="oval:org.mitre.oval:obj:281"/>
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
510
511
512
513
          teral component>\microsoft shared\triedit</literal component>
        </concat>
       </local_variable>
       <local variable id="oval:org.mitre.oval:var:209" version="2"</pre>
        comment="Base path to vgx.dll, part of Vector Markup Language (VML) implementation."
        datatype="string">
        <concat>
          <object component item field="value" object</pre>
                                        ref="oval:org.mitre.oval:obj:281"/>
          component>\Microsoft Shared\VGX</literal_component>
       </local variable>
      </variables>
      <!-- ===
      </oval definitions>
```

D.4 OCIL Questionnaire

The following OCIL XML instance represents a questionnaire used to evaluate the XCCDF checklist from the previous section.

Figure D-4. example-winxp-ocil-.xml

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
                     ion="http://www.mitre.org/ocil/2 ocil.xsd"
xmlns="http://www.mitre.org/ocil/2"
 xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance">
 <generator>
   <schema version>2.0</schema version>
   <timestamp>2009-09-01T11:34:01</timestamp>
   <author>
     <name>John Doe</name>
   </author>
 </generator>
 <document>
   <title>Example Win XP OCIL Content</title>
   <description>The following contains checks for FDCC rules that cannot be fully automated with
                existing tools.
   </description>
 </document>
 <questionnaires>
   <questionnaire id="ocil:mitre.org:questionnaire:1">
     <title>Network access: Allow anonymous SID/Name translation</title>
       <reference href="cce.mitre.org/version/4">CCE-953</reference>
       <reference href="http://cce.mitre.org">CCE-2973-6</reference>
     </references>
     <actions>
       <test action ref>ocil:mitre.org:testaction:51</test action ref>
     </actions>
   </questionnaire>
 </questionnaires>
 <test actions>
   <boolean_question_test_action question_ref="ocil:mitre.org:question:51"</pre>
                                id="ocil:mitre.org:testaction:51">
     <when true>
       <result>PASS</result>
     </when true>
     <when false>
       <result>FAIL</result>
     </when false>
   </boolean question test action>
 </test_actions>
 <questions>
   <boolean question id="ocil:mitre.org:question:51">
     <question text>Is anonymous SID / Name translation allowed?</question text>
   </boolean_question>
 </questions>
</ocil>
```

D.5 CPE Dictionary

This minimal CPE dictionary XML instance contains CPE Names referenced in the XCCDF document presented in section 1.

Figure D-5. example-winxp-cpe-dictionary.xml

D.6 CPE Inventory

46

47 48

61

The following OVAL XML instance contains OVAL Definitions that SHOULD be used to evaluate the CPE Name defined in the previous section.

Figure D-6. example-winxp-cpe-oval.xml

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<oval definitions xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5"</pre>
                xmlns:oval="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-common-5"
                 xmlns:oval-def="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5"
                 xmlns:win-def="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#windows"
                 xmlns:ind-def="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#independent"
                 xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
                      chemaLocation="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#windows
http://oval.mitre.org/language/download/schema/version5.3/ovaldefinition/complete/windows-
definitions-schema.xsd
                 http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#independent
http://oval.mitre.org/language/download/schema/version5.3/ovaldefinition/complete/independent-
definitions-schema.xsd
                http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5
http://oval.mitre.org/language/download/schema/version5.3/ovaldefinition/complete/oval-
definitions-schema.xsd
                 http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-common-5
http://oval.mitre.org/language/download/schema/version5.3/ovaldefinition/complete/oval-common-
schema.xsd">
     <generator>
           <oval:product name>National Institute of Standards and Technology</oval:product name>
           <oval:schema version>5.6</oval:schema version>
           <oval:timestamp>2008-09-02T12:59:10.000-04:00</oval:timestamp>
     </generator>
     <definitions>
           <definition id="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:def:2" version="1" class="inventory">
                <metadata>
                      <title>Microsoft Windows XP is installed</title>
                       <affected family="windows">
                            <platform>Microsoft Windows XP</platform>
                       </affected>
                      <description>Microsoft Windows XP is installed</description>
                 </metadata>
                 <criteria>
                      <criterion comment="the installed operating system is part of the</pre>
Microsoft Windows family" test ref="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:tst:6"/>
                      <criterion comment="Microsoft Windows XP is installed"</pre>
test ref="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:tst:7"/>
                 </criteria>
           </definition>
     </definitions>
     <!-- ===
          <family_test id="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:tst:6" version="1" comment="the installed
operating system is part of the Microsoft Windows family" check_existence="at_least_one_exists"</pre>
 heck="only one"
                xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#independent">
                 <object object ref="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:obj:3"/>
                 <state state ref="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:ste:14"/>
           </family test>
           <registry test id="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:tst:7" version="1" comment="Microsoft</pre>
Windows XP is installed" check existence="at least one exists" check="at least one"
xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#windows">
                <object object_ref="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:obj:4"/>
<state state ref="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:ste:15"/>
           </registry_test>
     </tests>
```

```
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
77
77
77
77
77
77
77
88
81
82
88
88
88
89
99
90
91
```

```
<objects>
        <family object id="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:obj:3" version="1"</pre>
xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#independent"/>
        <registry_object id="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:obj:4" version="1"</pre>
xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#windows">
            <hive>HKEY LOCAL MACHINE</hive>
            <key>SOFTWARE\Microsoft\Windows NT\CurrentVersion</key>
             <name>CurrentVersion
        </registry_object>
    </objects>
    <!-- =
    <states>
        <family state id="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:ste:14" version="1"</pre>
xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#independent">
            <family>windows</family>
        </family state>
        <registry_state id="oval:gov.nist.fdcc.xp:ste:15" version="1"</pre>
xmlns="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#windows">
            <value>5.1</value>
        </registry_state>
    </states>
    </oval definitions>
```